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Abstract
Objective: Our aim is to investigate the links between duration and intensity of exercise and the nutritional status in terms of body composition in acute anorexia nervosa (AN) patients.

Method: One hundred ninety-one hospitalized women suffering from AN were included. Exercise duration and intensity were assessed using a semistructured questionnaire. Body composition was measured using bioelectrical impedance. Linear multiple regression analyses were carried out using body mass index, fat-free mass index, and fat mass index as dependent variables and including systematically exercise duration, exercise intensity, and other confounding variables described in the literature that were significantly associated with each dependent variable in univariate analysis.

Results: A lower BMI was linked to lower exercise intensity, AN restrictive type, and presence of amenorrhea. A lower FFMI was linked to lower exercise intensity, older age, AN restrictive type, and premenarchal AN. Duration of exercise was not linked to the nutritional status.

Conclusions: Exercising at higher intensity in AN is associated with a better nutritional status, thus, a better resistance to starvation. The impact of therapeutic physical activity sessions, adapted in terms of exercise intensity and patient’s clinical status, should be evaluated during nutrition rehabilitation.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a life-threatening eating disorder with several somatic and psychiatric comorbidities (Roux, Chapelon, & Godart, 2013; Woodside & Staab, 2006). These complications are essentially due to the extent of weight loss, malnutrition, and the duration of the disorder (Miller et al., 2005). AN has a severe impact on nutritional status, which is reflected by a marked decrease in body mass index (BMI) and changes in body fat, impacting long-term outcome (Probst, Goris,
Vandereycken, & Van Coppenolle, 1996). The lower the BMI at admission to AN inpatient unit, the worst the prognosis is (Hebebrand et al., 1997; Huas et al., 2011).

Excessive physical exercise is a main symptom of AN and a common restrictive behavior used by patients to lose weight (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). It also interferes with nutrition rehabilitation and increases the risk of short-term somatic complications such as fractures and bruises (Rizk, Kern, Godart, & Melchior, 2014). It is associated with poor clinical and therapeutic outcomes (El Ghoch et al., 2013; Ng, Ng, & Wong, 2013; Solenberger, 2001). There is no international consensus on a clear and valid definition of excessive exercise in AN. However, the notions of exercise and physical activity are distinct. In fact, exercise is a subgroup of physical activity: It is structured, planned, voluntary, repetitive, and not always pleasant (WHO, 2010). Physical activity includes any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure (Caspersen, Powell, & Christenson, 1985). Historically in the literature, exercise in AN has mainly been considered as a problematic activity that should be limited or even completely banned, especially during the acute phase of the disorder (Rizk, 2015). However, recent literature is showing that exercise could be a component in the treatment of several mental disorders (Zschucke, Gaudlitz, & Ströhle, 2013) including eating disorders (Hausenblas, Cook, & Chittester, 2008; Vancampfort et al., 2014) and AN in particular (Ng et al., 2013; Zunker, Mitchell, & Wonderlich, 2011). Nevertheless, data concerning the link between exercise (when not defined as an excessive physical activity) and nutritional status in AN are scarce and contradictory. Physical exercise has been associated with either lower (Hechler et al., 2008) or higher (Casper, Schoeller, Kushner, Hnilicka, & Gold, 1991) BMI in AN.

The most described animal model combining food restriction and elevated physical activity is the “activity-based anorexia” model (ABA model; Adan et al., 2011). This model shows that rodents who have free access to a running wheel will develop hyperactivity in response to a limited food supply (1–2 hr of food access per day). However, recent findings in this animal model suggest elements in favor of a protective effect of exercise in case of food restriction (Achamrah et al., 2016). Mequinion et al. (Méquinion et al., 2015) evaluated the impact of voluntary physical activity on two groups of mice that were both food restricted. The first group had access to a running wheel whereas the second group did not. Short-term results showed that the first group of mice adapted quicker to food restriction and despite reaching a critical point of body weight earlier, they also stabilized their weight faster compared with the second group. Long-term results showed that the first group had a better use of ingested glucose and less-fat oxidation. They finally hypothesized that physical activity could have positive effects on the global adaptation to the severe condition of food restriction found in patients with AN (Méquinion et al., 2015).

In addition, it seems that adapted exercise programs are associated with improved functional capacities in patients with AN: A high-intensity resistance training program (tailored to the recommendations for adolescents with AN) improved muscular strength in the whole body and the ability of patients to perform daily tasks (Fernandez-del-Valle et al., 2014).

The purpose of this study is to investigate the links between physical exercise, in terms of duration and intensity and nutritional status in terms of BMI, fat-free mass index (FFMI), and fat mass index (FMI) in hospitalized patients with AN. To our knowledge, no research to date has investigated this question.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Ethical statement

This study was part of a larger multicentered study named EVHAN (Evaluation of Hospitalization for AN, Eudract number: 2007-AO1110–53, registered in Clinical trials). The study protocol was approved by the Ile-de-France III Ethics Committee and the CNIL (Commission nationale de l’informatique et des libertés). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before inclusion and from the parents of those who were under 18 years old.

### 2.2 | Participants

Prior to inclusion in the study, all participants were hospitalized in an inpatient care unit for life-threatening physical and/or mental states (including a body mass index (BMI) below 14 and/or rapid weight loss and/or compromised vital functions, severe depression, high-suicide risk, chronic undernutrition with low weight, and/or failure of outpatient care). Inclusion criteria of EVHAN were patients aged 8–65 years old referred for an acute AN episode to one of the 11 French specialized inpatient treatment facilities participating in the EVHAN study. Individuals were excluded if they (a) refused to participate in the research; (b) had insufficient knowledge of the French language; (c) were suffering, in addition to their eating disorder, from potentially confounding somatic pathologies (diabetes, Crohn’s disease, or metabolic disorders); (d) had already been included in the protocol during a previous hospitalization.
Two-hundred and thirty-three patients were included in the EVHAN study between April 2009 and July 2012. Current AN diagnosis was based on the DSM-IV-TR criteria and assessed using the CIDI 3.0 (WHO, 1997) with the following BMI criteria: BMI < 10th percentile up to 17 years of age and BMI < 17.5 for 17 years of age and above. Purging symptoms were evaluated using the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (Cooper, Cooper, & Fairburn, 1989). At inclusion, (a) seven patients did not meet DSM-IV-TR Criterion A. However, two of them had shifted from a BMI above the 97th percentile to a BMI on the 10th percentile relative to their age in the 3 months preceding hospitalization. The remaining five had had a BMI < 17.5 in the previous 3 months but had been initially admitted to a medical ward. They had gained weight just before their transfer to a psychiatry unit and inclusion in the study; (b) 39 patients did not meet DSM-IV-TR Criterion B; (c) 16 patients did not meet DSM-IV-TR Criterion C; (d) 10 patients did not meet DSM-IV-TR Criterion D. We considered all the patients (AN full syndrome and subthreshold) in our analyses. The exclusion criteria for the present study were men ($n = 10$), age younger than 13 years ($n = 11$; age from which all patients had the same versions of the questionnaires of interest) and patients with negative values of FMI ($n = 4$). These values were observed in patients with very low-BMI values (10.34, 11.55, 12.57, and 13.34). They reflect the inadequacy of the bioelectrical impedance formula to calculate body fat in case of extreme emaciation associated with massive body water expansion. In addition, due to technical problems (inadequate electrodes), FFMI and FMI were not measured in 17 patients. Finally, 191 patients were included in the present study (Figure 1).

2.3 | Exercise: Duration and intensity

Participants were interviewed by trained evaluators, using a semistructured questionnaire (Rizk et al., 2015). This questionnaire was designed to determine at what level patients were engaging in a given type of exercise in the month preceding hospitalization. It was intended to evaluate the type of exercise (walking, running, swimming, cycling, and household activities), frequency, and duration (in hours per week). At the end of the questionnaire, in an open question, patients were asked to specify any other activity they were practicing. Each exercise pattern was then matched with its intensity in metabolic equivalents (METs) using the compendium of physical activity proposed by Ainsworth et al. (2011). The MET value of each physical activity represents the ratio of the energy expended per kilogram of body weight per hour during the activity compared with the energy expended when sitting quietly. The number of hours spent per day on each activity was multiplied by its MET score. The daily amount of exercise was then obtained by summing the MET hours for all activities.

2.4 | Nutritional status: BMI, FFMI, and FMI

Three markers of the nutritional status were considered: BMI, FFMI, and FMI. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using standard beam balance scales (Omega-SECA, Germany). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (wall-mounted model 222-SECA, Germany). BMI was derived from weight (kg) divided by the square of height (meters). FM and FFMI were assessed in the first 2 weeks of admission to the inpatient unit. This allowed the stabilization of the patients' fluid and electrolytes status by sustaining from compensatory behaviors (purging, vomiting, or laxative/diuretic abuse; Piccoli, Codognotto, Di Pascoli, Boffo, & Caregaro, 2005; Probst, Goris, Vandereycken, & Van Coppenolle, 2001). FFMI and FMI were measured using the Bioelectrical Analyzer (FORANA, Helios, Frankfurt, Germany) with an alternating electric current at 50 kHz and 800 mAmp, four skin electrodes (BIANOSTIC, DataInput, Darmstadt, Germany), and using the Deurenberg equation as previously described in the study of Mattar et al. (Mattar, Huas, Group, & Godart, 2012). FMI and FFMI were calculated to evaluate fat mass and fat-free mass independently of height (Kyle, Schutz, Dupertuis, & Pichard, 2003). FMI and FFMI are the equivalent of fat mass (in kg) and fat-free mass (kg) divided by squared height (m), respectively. Given that our sample includes women aged between 13 and 52, normal FMI references ranged from 3.4 kg/m² (fifth percentile) to 9.9 kg/m² (95th percentile), and normal FFMI references ranged from 13.8 kg/m² (fifth percentile) to 18 kg/m² (95th percentile; Schutz, Kyle, & Pichard, 2002).

**FIGURE 1** Flow diagram of number of patients included in the present analysis
2.5 | Confounding factors

The body composition of patients with AN is affected by factors that could either be specifically linked to their disorder (AN subtype (Probst et al., 1996), age at illness onset (Mattar, Huas, et al., 2012), illness duration (Mattar, Huas, et al., 2012), premenarchal AN (Demerath et al., 2004), and presence of amenorrhea (Pitts, Blood, Divasta, & Gordon, 2014) or by factors also found in the general population (age (Zamboni et al., 1997) and birth weight (Mattar, Pichard, Godart, & Melchior, 2012).

These elements were evaluated by the CIDI 3.0 (WHO, 1997) for AN characteristics and by the study questionnaire for other elements.

2.6 | Statistics

A statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (SPSS Statistics, version 21.0; Chicago). First descriptive statistics were produced. Numerical variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation, whereas counts and frequencies were used for categorical variables. Associations between nutritional status markers (BMI, FFMI, and FMI), exercise duration and exercise intensity, and other confounding variables (age, age at AN onset, illness duration, birth weight, premenarchal AN status, and AN subtypes) were tested using the appropriate univariate analysis (the Chi-squared test and the Student t test). If assumptions of parametric counterparts (normality and homoscedasticity) were not met and if two-group comparisons had highly imbalanced sample size, nonparametric tests were used (the Mann–Whitney U test). A fixed Type I error of 5% was considered. Finally, linear multiple regression analyses were carried out using BMI, FFMI, and FMI as dependent variables and including systematically exercise duration, exercise intensity, and other confounding variables that were significantly associated with each dependent variable in univariate tests (p < 0.1; see results of univariate analysis for details).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Patients’ characteristics

Characteristics of patients at admission to inpatient treatment are presented in Table 1. Very emaciated patients are presented, with mean values of BMI, FFMI, FMI, and percentage of body fat far below the normal values for healthy adult women.

Ninety-seven participants (50.8%) met criteria for AN restrictive type (AN-R), and 94 participants (49.2%) met criteria for the binge-eating/purging type. At admission to the inpatient program, 95.8% (183/191) of participants had premenarchal AN, and 12% (23/191) had amenorrhea.

3.2 | Links between physical exercise, BMI, body composition, and variables of interest

Results of univariate tests between BMI, FFMI, FMI, clinical characteristics (age, age at AN onset, illness duration, birth weight, AN subtypes, premenarchal AN status, and presence of amenorrhea), and exercise (duration and intensity) are presented in Table 2. Exercise intensity was positively correlated to BMI (p < 0.05) and FFMI (p < 0.001) but not to FMI. However, exercise duration was not significantly correlated to any of the three markers of the nutritional state. BMI was significantly

| TABLE 1 | Patients characteristics with acute anorexia nervosa (n = 191) |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|          | Mean  | SD    | Minimum | Maximum |
| Age (years) | 21.0  | 6.9   | 13.2    | 52.3    |
| Age at AN onset (years) | 16.4  | 4.2   | 6.0     | 33.0    |
| Illness duration (years) | 4.4   | 4.4   | 0.2     | 24.2    |
| Birth weight* (kg) | 3.2   | 0.4   | 1.3     | 4.6     |
| Body mass index | 14.6  | 1.5   | 11.2    | 18.6    |
| Fat-free mass index | 12.6  | 0.8   | 10.4    | 15.4    |
| Fat mass index | 2.0   | 1.1   | 0.1     | 5.1     |
| Body fat (%) | 13.4  | 6.3   | 0.94    | 28.0    |
| Exercise duration (hr/week) | 9.0   | 10.2  | 0       | 60.0    |
| Exercise intensity (METs) | 3.7   | 2.2   | 0       | 10.0    |

*Birth weight is obtained for 131 patients.
TABLE 2  Results of univariate analyses between body mass index, fat-free mass index, fat-mass index and variables of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>FFMI</th>
<th>FMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>$r = -0.00$</td>
<td>$r = -0.26^{**}$</td>
<td>$r = 0.20^{**}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at AN onset</td>
<td>$r = 0.08$</td>
<td>$r = 0.04$</td>
<td>$r = 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness duration</td>
<td>$r = -0.02$</td>
<td>$r = -0.28^{***}$</td>
<td>$r = 0.20^{*}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>$r = 0.13$</td>
<td>$r = 0.18^{*}$</td>
<td>$r = 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise duration</td>
<td>$r = -0.01$</td>
<td>$r = -0.01$</td>
<td>$r = 0.00$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise intensity</td>
<td>$r = 0.15^{*}$</td>
<td>$r = 0.25^{***}$</td>
<td>$r = 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN subtype§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN-R§</td>
<td>14.2 ± 1.3^{***}</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.86^{**}</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.06^{**}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN-BP†</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenarchal AN†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes†</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.0^{*}</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.6^{*}</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No†</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenorrhea §</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes§</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.4^{*}</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.8^{*}</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No§</td>
<td>16.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$r$: Pearson’s correlation coefficients. †: t test for independent samples. §: Mann–Whitney test for independent samples. †: Mean ± SD.

* $p < 0.05$. ** $p < 0.01$. *** $p < 0.001$.

Note: AN: anorexia nervosa; AN-BP: anorexia nervosa binge-eating/purging type; AN-R: anorexia nervosa restrictive type; FFMI: fat-free mass index; FMI: fat mass index.

correlated to FFMI ($r = 0.663$, $p < 0.001$) and FMI ($r = 0.823$, $p < 0.001$). Age was negatively linked to FFMI and positively to FMI. Birth weight was only positively correlated to FFMI ($p < 0.05$). Both amenorrhea and premenarchal AN were linked to lower BMI and FFMI ($p < 0.05$). There was a tendency for a lower FMI in patients with amenorrhea ($p = 0.054$).

3.3 Impact of physical exercise on BMI, FFMI, or FMI as dependent variables

The results of the multivariate regressions are presented in Table 3. These analyses were performed to explore the extent to which exercise (in terms of duration and intensity) and other variables of interest, significantly identified in univariate analysis (Table 2), predicted BMI, FFMI, and FMI. Current age was highly correlated to illness duration ($r = 0.722$, $p < 0.001$) and age at AN onset ($r = 0.687$, $p < 0.001$). Premenarchal AN status was linked to age at AN onset ($p < 0.001$). Thus, only age and premenarchal AN status were included in our analyses.

A lower BMI was independently and significantly linked to a lower intensity of exercise, AN-R, and presence of amenorrhea (Table 3). The total variance accounted for by the model was 14.2%, $F(5, 185) = 7.31, p < 0.001$.

A lower FFMI was independently and significantly linked to lower intensity of exercise, an older age, AN-R, and premenarchal AN (Table 3). The total variance accounted for by the model was 19.2%, $F(6, 184) = 8.53, p < 0.001$.

These results were not modified when we added birth weight as a predictive variable (only available for 131 patients), and FFMI was also independently and significantly associated to higher birth weight; the total variance accounted for by this last model was 21.2%, $F(7, 123) = 5.99, p < 0.001$ (Table 3).

A lower FMI was independently and significantly linked to AN-R, a younger age, and presence of amenorrhea (Table 3). The total variance accounted for by the model was 11.3%, $F(5, 185) = 5.82, p < 0.001$.

4 DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the links between the duration and intensity of physical exercise and nutritional status of patients with acute AN, while considering factors specific and nonspecific to AN.

Higher intensity of physical exercise was linked to higher BMI and FFMI. On one hand, it seems that patients with higher BMI had the strength and energy to exercise at higher intensities, which is in accordance with the results of Nagata et al. (2018), Falk, Halmi, and Tryon (1985) and Hechler et al. (2008). Because the most exhausted patients are generally the ones with the lowest BMI values, it was not surprising to find that these patients also had the lowest exercise intensity. This is most probably the consequence of their malnutrition and declining energy and physical capacities. On the other hand, it seems that exercise could keep a patient’s BMI from further decreasing during the acute phase of the disorder. Individuals who exercise at high intensities could “allow” themselves to eat more and consequently, have a higher BMI. This is a topic of debate (Gümmer et al., 2015), but it was initially proposed by Garner and his colleagues in 1997. In fact, physical activity has been found to play an enhancing role in patients’ weight recovery, especially in recovering body fat (Kostrzewa et al., 2013). This hypothesis is also in accordance with animal models. As mentioned in Section 1, Mequinion et al. (Méquinion et al., 2015) reported that in case of food restriction, the mice who exercised stabilized their weight faster in comparison with those who did not. Our finding suggests the need to reevaluate the general viewpoint that physical exercise only
worsens clinical status in AN. Indeed, the positive association between exercising at high intensity and a better nutritional status (higher BMI and FFMI values) is in line with the idea of including adapted physical activity sessions in AN treatment (Ng et al., 2013). Moderated under the appropriate conditions (adapted to the food intake and energy expenditure of the patient and taking into account bone mineral density and cardiac function (American Psychiatric Association, 2006), supervised exercise interventions integrated in AN inpatient treatment appeared to be safe (Fernandez-del-Valle et al., 2014; Hausenblas et al., 2008; Ng et al., 2013; Vancampfort et al., 2014; Zunker et al., 2011), preserved bone mineral density (Achamrah et al., 2016), helped manage mood and anxiety (Achamrah et al., 2016), and were associated with a positive outcome and a significant decrease in patients’ concerns about body weight and shape (Zunker et al., 2011). These interventions may also increase the compliance with outpatient treatment (Thien, Thomas, Markin, & Birmingham, 2000). After summarizing the evidence of eight randomized controlled trials, Vancampfort et al. (2014) concluded that adapted exercise sessions significantly increased BMI, percentage of body fat, and muscular strength of patients with AN and that aerobic exercises, yoga, and massages significantly decreased eating disorder symptomatology and depressive symptoms in these patients.

Exercise duration (in terms of hours per week) was not linked to any of the three markers of nutritional status, which is in accordance with previous studies (Kostrzewa et al., 2013; Pirke, Trimborn, Platte, & Fichter, 1991). However, some studies did find positive (Casper et al., 1991) or negative (Hechler et al., 2008) associations between BMI, percentage of body fat, and the level of daily physical activity in outpatients. These conflicting results could be explained by methodological discrepancies (small samples with 30 or less participants, nonvalidated method to measure body composition in AN, and/or not considering confounding factors in multivariate analyses; Rizk et al., 2015).

The restrictive subtype of AN contributed to explain a worse nutritional state, which has been previously found in patients for BMI (Godart et al., 2006) and FMI (Probst et al., 1996). FFMI was positively linked to birth weight,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome variable</th>
<th>Model Summary</th>
<th>Linear Regression</th>
<th>Regression Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>Regression Coefficients</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>Exercise intensity</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise duration</td>
<td>−0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>−0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AN subtype</td>
<td>−0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Premenarchal AN</td>
<td>−0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass index*</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>Exercise intensity</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise duration</td>
<td>−0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>−0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AN subtype</td>
<td>−0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Premenarchal AN</td>
<td>−0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass index**</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>Exercise intensity</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise duration</td>
<td>−0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>−0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AN subtype</td>
<td>−0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Premenarchal AN</td>
<td>−0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass index</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>Exercise intensity</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise duration</td>
<td>−0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amenorrhea</td>
<td>−0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AN subtype</td>
<td>−0.226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The use of bold emphasize in this table is to highlight significant results.

*Regression analysis excluding the predictor variable birth weight.
**Regression analysis including the predictor variable birth weight.
which is in accordance with results from the general population (Singhal, Wells, Cole, Fewtrell, & Lucas, 2003) and in AN.

The presence of amenorrhea was significantly linked to lower BMI and FMI. This is in accordance with previous studies in the general population and in AN (Abraham, Pettigrew, Boyd, & Russell, 2006; Ahima, 2004). Pitts et al. (2014) found that patients who recovered their menses had significantly higher percentages of body fat than the ones who did not.

Finally, older age was associated with lower FFMI and higher FMI. To our knowledge, this has not been previously investigated in AN. However, these findings are in line with results from the general population; in healthy individuals, BMI and percentage of body fat increase significantly with age (up to middle age; Shimokata et al., 1991).

5 | STRENGTH AND LIMITATIONS

The main strengths of this study were (a) the use of a validated bioelectrical impedance analysis equation in AN (Mattar et al., 2011) to assess body composition in a very large sample of inpatients; (b) the focus on physical exercise and not on excessive exercise; and (c) simultaneous consideration of several factors associated with the nutritional status of patients. To our knowledge, this has not been done before.

The main limitation was the lack of information concerning the food intake and energy expenditure of patients. Both these factors have been reported to significantly predict the nutritional status of healthy individuals (Thibault, Genton, & Pichard, 2012) and patients with AN (Mitchell & Truswell, 1987). Considering energy intake and energy expenditure would have brought more insights to understanding our patients’ nutritional statuses. Future research should investigate these parameters in addition to the factors investigated in this study. Another limitation was the use of a subjective method to assess the duration and intensity of exercise. Using an objective method, such as accelerometers, in our huge cohort would have been very difficult to implement and expensive. The use of a semi-structured questionnaire seemed more appropriate (Davis, Kennedy, Ravelski, & Dionne, 1994). Nevertheless, the use of the compendium of physical activity proposed by Ainsworth et al. (2011) is a validated measure of exercise intensity and energy expenditure (Strath et al., 2013). Future studies could benefit more from including an objective instrument in their protocols to assess both physical activity and energy expenditure. In addition, the BMI range of our sample was very broad (11.2–18.6). This could have influenced exercise intensity during the month before hospitalization. We did not evaluate weight variations 1 month prior to hospitalization, during which some patients might have lost weight, and others gained or stabilized. Future studies are needed to investigate this point. Finally, it is important to note that the cross-sectional design of our study investigates associations rather than causality.

6 | CONCLUSION

Exercising at higher intensity in acute AN is associated with a better nutritional status, thus, a better resistance to starvation, similarly to animal models. The impact of therapeutic physical activity sessions, adapted in terms of exercise intensity and a patient’s clinical status, should be evaluated during the nutrition rehabilitation process. Over exercising in AN has also been strongly known to have a negative impact on clinical outcome; however, how much physical activity is too much physical activity is also an important question to raise. New research proposing a structured physical activity program in AN treatment and evaluating its effects in a large randomized control trial are needed.
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