

Influence of school environment on adolescents' creative potential, motivation and well-being

Maud Besançon, Fabien Fenouillet, Rebecca Shankland

► To cite this version:

Maud Besançon, Fabien Fenouillet, Rebecca Shankland. Influence of school environment on adolescents' creative potential, motivation and well-being. Learning and Individual Differences, 2015, 43, pp.178 - 184. 10.1016/j.lindif.2015.08.029. hal-01392503

HAL Id: hal-01392503 https://hal.parisnanterre.fr/hal-01392503v1

Submitted on 9 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Influence of school environment on adolescents' creative potential, motivation and well-
2	being
3	
4	Maud Besançon, Fabien Fenouillet, Rebecca Shankland
5	
6	
7	Abstract
8	
9	It is increasingly acknowledged that creativity has become essential in daily life. Each
10	individual has the potential to be creative and the level of creativity actualization results from
11	different factors that can be cognitive, conative and environmental. In particular, educational
12	methods may impact creativity directly or indirectly through motivation and well-being. We
13	hypothesized that the type of pedagogy influences levels of creativity, motivation and well-
14	being. Furthermore, we hypothesized that creativity was linked to motivation and well-being.
15	This study was conducted on 131 French adolescents attending a Waldorf school (alternative
16	educational method) or a traditional school. Our results highlight differences in well-being
17	and type of motivation when comparing both educational methods. Moreover, our results
18	showed significant correlations between the different types of motivation and creativity
19	scores.
20	
21	Key words: educational methods; creativity; motivation; well-being; adolescents.
22	
23	
24	

26 Influence of school environment on adolescents' creative potential, motivation and well-

being

- 27
- 28
- 29

30 **1. Introduction**

31 The rapid evolution of society obliges individuals to adapt constantly. Flexibility and 32 creativity give the possibility to cope with the numerous changes people may have to face 33 during their lives. Creativity is considered to be a necessary component of the problem-34 solving process (e.g., Mumford, Mobley, Uhlman, Reiter-Palmon & Doares, 1991), and 35 creative ideation develops greater flexibility (e.g., Runco, 1986), hence fostering well-being 36 (e.g., Carson, Bittner, Cameron, Brown & Meyer, 1994). Creativity has not only been 37 described as a reaction to changes and as means of coping with it (Shaw & Runco, 1994), but 38 it has also been conceptualized as contributing to social and societal advances (Paulus & 39 Nijstad, 2003).

40 The ability to cope with new situations can thus be acquired through the development of 41 autonomy, self-confidence, motivation and creativity (Carson, et al., 1994; Deci & Ryan, 42 2000; Russ, Robins, & Christiano, 1999; Shankland, Genolini, Riou Franca, Guelfi, & 43 Ionescu, 2010). All the above factors may be enhanced or hindered by the individual's 44 immediate environment, in particular by the family (Dusek & Danko, 1994; Kliewer & Lewis, 45 1995; McIntyre & Dusek, 1995; Ruchkin, Eisemann, & Hagglof, 1999) and educational 46 settings (Lillard & Else-Quest, 2006; Mellou, 1996; Ogletree, 2000; Shankland, Riou França, 47 Genolini, Guelfi, & Ionescu, 2009). Mellou (1996) suggests that creativity may be nurtured 48 through specific educational settings in three respects: the creative environment (material, 49 classrooms...), creative programs and creative teachers or ways of teaching. These 50 characteristics appear to be particularly present in alternative educational systems such as 51 Montessori and Waldorf schools (e.g., Rose, Jolley, & Charman, 2012; Murdock, 2003; 52 Shankland, 2008).

53 The term creativity is used in this article as the ability to produce novel, original work that fits 54 within particular task or domain constraints (Amabile, 1996; Gardner, 1996; Lubart, 55 Mouchiroud, Tordjman, & Zenasni, 2003; Ochse, 1990; Runco, & Jaeger, 2012; Sternberg, & 56 Lubart, 1995). According to Sternberg and Lubart (1995), creativity is a cognitive aptitude 57 which requires a confluence of three distinct and interrelated resources: cognitive factors 58 (such as intelligence, knowledge), conative factors (such as personality, motivation, emotion) 59 and environmental context. According to Snow (1994), levels of ability development and 60 patterns of ability differentiation may result from different types of educational systems. 61 However, each individual's learning history is also unique because individuals perceive 62 situations differently according to their own background and interests. Thus, children's 63 creative performances can be influenced by their conative aptitude, by their learning 64 environment, and by the interaction between these two variables. The learning environment 65 may have an impact on creative performances through explicit creativity development, for 66 example by enhancing pretend play and role play in children according to their age (e.g., Russ et al., 1999) and by scheduling arts classes – as it can be observed in Waldorf schools (Rose, 67 68 et al., 2012). Schools may also impact creativity indirectly through intrinsic motivation 69 (Rathunde & Csikszentmihalyi, 2005) and well-being enhancement (Fredrickson, 2001).

70

71 **1.1. Educational methods and creativity**

72 The French traditional educational system is based on norms and rules that allow the class to 73 remain as calm and structured as possible. Therefore, autonomy and risk taking are not 74 emphasized, and pupils often remain passive. Memorization and theory applications are more 75 practiced than integration or active thinking. Generally, teachers give exercises, which 76 support the development of convergent thinking. There is usually one single right answer to 77 the problem presented, leaving little room for divergent thinking. Moreover, creative thinking 78 is rarely solicited except in arts classes. In addition, students are often in competition with one 79 another. Therefore they cannot develop perseverance and intrinsic motivation, which are two 80 important components of creative performances.

81 Alternative educational practices based on Freinet, Montessori or Waldorf pedagogical 82 methods appear to be characterized by: (1) autonomy development, (2) active participation in 83 knowledge and skills acquisition and integration (not only memorization), (3) development of 84 intrinsic motivation through activity choices (students may choose specific projects they wish 85 to work on), and reduced competition (absence of marks, cooperation...; Lillard & Else-86 Quest, 2006). According to Deci and Ryan's model (1985), autonomy-supportive and 87 competence-focused educational methods meet students' fundamental psychological needs -88 feelings of autonomy, competence and relatedness - thereby increasing intrinsic motivation 89 and well-being (Ryan & Deci, 2001). Through these pedagogical methods, both convergent 90 and divergent thinking may be used, and learning is aimed at developing autonomy through

the acquisition of skills and the development of psychosocial competencies rather than being
mainly aimed at acquisition of knowledge (Kendal, 1992; Shankland, et al., 2009; Shankland,
et al., 2010). Creative thinking is also particularly solicited through artistic activities – mainly
in Waldorf (Steiner) schools – such as painting, modeling, sculpting and theatre.

95 During the latter of the 20th century, several studies compaired children's performances in 96 traditional and in alternative educational systems. Horwitz (1979) conducted a literature 97 review from the 1930s to the late 1970s. Globally, children who were exposed to alternative 98 educational methods showed less cognitive rigidity, more nuanced and imaginative thinking; 99 they took more initiatives, were more open, and less conventional. Nevertheless, children 100 exposed to alternative education outperformed those in traditional classes.

101 Thomas and Berk (1981) conducted a literature review concerning the effects of different 102 school environments on children's creativity, which also yielded inconclusive results. Their 103 hypothesis was that the environment that best supports the development of creative performance is an intermediate one, neither too structured, nor too open or flexible. Their 104 105 results highlighted a complex relation for the development of creativity, which is influenced 106 by gender, type of educational system, and creativity type (verbal or figural). In particular, 107 they found that (1) an intermediate environment best promoted creativity, and (2) that in 108 general, boys were more creative than girls.

109 Ogletree (2000), using Torrance's creativity tests (1976), also compared Waldorf and classical 110 schools students' productions. Waldorf schools students showed greater creativity than 111 traditional schools students (cited by Rose, et al., 2012). These results may also be explained 112 by the diversity of artistic classes proposed in Waldorf schools and autonomous creative 113 exercises carried out by the students themselves at home (Shankland, 2008). For example, 114 based on the classes given by the teachers, students have to create their own folder composed 115 of the class contents, adding information they have looked up, and decorated by drawings 116 aiming at illustrating the lesson or simply aiming at making their folder more agreeable to 117 read. The higher levels of creativity may also be explained by the fact that in these schools, 118 parents are strongly recommended to restrict television use at home. As the number of hours 119 watching television is correlated to reduced creativity (Christakis & Zimmerman, 2006), this 120 constitutes a potential creativity factor in Waldorf students.

121 Another study comparing Montessori, Waldorf and classical school students (Cox & 122 Rowlands, 2000) underlined that Waldorf students productions were more accurate 123 (proportions, perspective), detailed and also imaginative than those of other pupils. Where 124 differences were found between classical school and Montessori pupils, the Montessori

125 children tended to do better than the others. More recently, Besançon and Lubart (2008) also 126 studied the influence of educational methods on the development of children's creativity. 127 Their results indicated that, in general, children attending alternative education systems 128 (Montessori and Freinet in that study) obtained higher performances than children attending 129 traditional schools. In what concerns the positive influence of alternative educational methods 130 on creative development from year 1 to year 2, the results show that Montessori curriculum 131 was associated with an overall increase in creativity, for all children whatever their initial 132 creative ability levels. However, this was not observed for children in Freinet classes. This difference could be explained by the fact that the teaching staff varied in the schools in which 133 134 some teachers used Freinet pedagogical practices, whereas other teachers only used classical 135 methods. Thus, some children in year 2 had a teacher who used traditional methods. These 136 variations across the two years of the study support the hypothesis concerning the influence of 137 educational methods on creativity development.

138

139 **1.2.** Motivation

140 Little use is made in alternative schools of marks which would operate as rewards or 141 punishments for students (Shankland et al., 2010). Hence this type of education should lead to 142 higher levels of intrinsic motivation (Deci, Koestner & Ryan, 1999, 2001). Furthermore, 143 Amabile (1982) showed that the use of rewards has a negative impact on child creativity. 144 Meta-analyses also underlined that any type of reward and external incitation such as school 145 assessments lead to reduced intrinsic motivation even for an activity considered by the 146 students as interesting in the first place (Cameron & Pierce; 1994; Deci et al., 1999, 2001). As opposed to these types of educational methods, alternative schools support student autonomy 147 148 and social relationships which enhance student engagement in school activities as they act 149 upon factors which have a positive impact on intrinsic motivation (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Furrer 150 & Skinner, 2003; Ryan, Siller, & Lynch, 1994). Enhancing intrinsic motivation is all the more 151 important as extrinsic motivation reduces creativity (Amabile, 1988; Cooper & Jayatilaka, 152 2006), while intrinsic motivation enhances creative performances (Jesus, Rus, Lens, & 153 Imaginário, 2013). By focalizing individuals on activity results rather than on the activity 154 itself - as does intrinsic motivation - extrinsic motivation may lead to reduced cognitive 155 flexibility which encourages individuals to use specific algorithms which have proved to be 156 efficacious in past experiences rather than to test more innovative solutions (Cooper & 157 Jayatilaka, 2006).

159 **1.3. Well-being**

160 Alternative educational settings highlight the importance of student well-being at school. 161 Since the definition of Subjective Well-Being (SWB) given by Diener in 1984, many research 162 studies have been carried out on this subject. SWB is referred to as the experience of high 163 levels of positive emotions, low levels of negative emotions, and a high level of satisfaction 164 with life. In line with research studies on the impact of childrearing on well-being (Dusek & 165 Danko, 1994; McIntyre & Dusek, 1995), researchers have suggested that alternative schools 166 such as Steiner and Montessori show a similar pattern of education involving relatively high 167 levels of responsiveness, as well as a high demand for age-appropriate behavior (Lillard & 168 Else-Quest, 2006; Shankland et al., 2009). The hypothesis is thus made that these schools 169 generate greater levels of SWB, which in turn should lead to higher creativity performances as 170 suggested by a growing body of research on the links between positive affect and creativity 171 (e.g., Amabile, Barsade, Mueller, & Staw, 2005; Hirt, Melton, McDonald, & Harackiewicz, 172 1996; Isen, Daubman, & Nowicki, 1987). Fredrickson's "Broaden and Build model" (2001) 173 suggests that positive emotions broaden the momentary action and thoughts repertory (e.g., 174 Fredrickson & Branigan, 2005), leading to higher levels of creativity and problem solving (as 175 initially highlighted by Isen's studies, e.g., Isen, 1999; Isen, Daubman & Nowicki, 1987). 176 These competencies constitute new strengths, thereby *building* sustainable resources to cope 177 with adversity (e.g., Fredrickson, Mancuso, Branigan, & Tugade, 2000).

178 Since the initial work carried out by Isen and colleagues, there has been a growing interest in 179 the link between positive emotions and creativity (for a meta-analysis see Davis, 2009). Isen, 180 and colleagues (1987) showed that positive emotion induction improved creative 181 performances. They originally explained this phenomenon through greater attention towards 182 the task presented which would enhance the perception of details that could generally be 183 ignored. They also argued that positive emotions would facilitate access to positive memories 184 which are assumed to be more numerous than negative ones. A decade later, a neurocognitive 185 model of positive emotions suggested that creative problem solving is improved, in part 186 because of increased dopamine release in the anterior cingulate which enhances cognitive 187 flexibility and facilitates the process of selection among various cognitive perspectives 188 (Ashby, Isen, & Turken, 1999). Research in this field continues to explore the links between 189 positive affect and creativity. A recent study carried out by Masmoudi and Charaf (2013) 190 appears to confirm this assumption. They presented a creative task with positive or negative

valance words or with neutral ones and measured creative performances comparing these
three groups. The results indicate that positive words generated greater verbal fluency,
flexibility and originality.

194 With time, the models conceptualized to understand the relationship between emotions and 195 creativity have become more complex and differentially explain the role of emotions on 196 various creativity facets according to valance, arousal and intensity (De Dreu, Baas, & Nijstad, 2008; Kaufman & Vosbung, 2002; Lin, Tsai, Lin, & Chen, 2014; To, Fisher, 197 198 Ashkanasy, & Rowe, 2012; Tsai, Lin, & Lin, 2013). Indeed, emotions appear to influence the 199 different creative performances through distinct mechanisms. For example, Lin and colleagues (2014) showed that positive emotions enhanced creative performances either 200 201 through cognitive flexibility (which totally mediated the relationship between positive 202 emotional states and insight problem solving), while divergent thinking was rather associated 203 with arousal levels. However, positive emotions remain central to these models, and ways of 204 enhancing positive emotions in students have been tested since the early developments of 205 positive psychology at school (see in particular publications on the Penn Resiliency Program; 206 for a meta-analysis of its effects, see Brunwasser, Gillham, & Kim, 2009). Although these 207 research studies focused on positive moods or states - most frequently induced (Kaufman & 208 Beghetto, 2009) - rather than on general well-being, some studies have shown that happier 209 students are more creative (e.g., Cacha, 1976). In the same way, happy workers appear to be 210 more creative (Yuan, 2015).

211

212 Even though the benefits of creativity on intrinsic motivation and cognitive tasks have been 213 documented (see Amabile, 1996), formal creativity or arts classes are often considered as less 214 relevant to education or as mainly extra-curricular activities (Aljughaiman & Mowrer-215 Reynolds, 2005). Contrary to this belief, a survey underlined that in Steiner schools, teachers 216 considered arts and creativity as a central component of all classes (Woods, Ashley, & 217 Woods, 2005). Most of these teachers (95%) also highlighted that artistic and creative skills 218 were an essential feature of Waldorf school teachers. As other studies carried out on 219 alternative schools such as Montessori have also underlined greater levels of intrinsic 220 motivation (Rathunde & Csikszentmihalyi, 2005), and studies on former alternative school 221 students highlighted higher levels of SWB (Shankland et al., 2010) – lower levels of anxiety 222 and depression symptoms and higher levels of satisfaction with life – it was assumed here that 223 these students would perform better on creative tasks compared to traditional school students.

225 **1.4. Present Study**

In the current study, we examined the relationship between learning environment and students' creative performances, as well as its correlations with motivation and well-being. Based on the literature, we first tested the hypothesis according to which the type of pedagogy influenced the level of creativity, motivation and well-being. Secondly, we tested whether (1) creativity related to motivation, and (2) how creativity related to well-being.

231

232 **2. Method**

233 2.1. Participants

The data analyzed in this study was obtained from a sample of 131 French adolescents (48.9% boys, 51.1% girls; mean age = 12.74, SD = 0.97): 41 from a Waldorf school, alternative education; 90 from a traditional school. Each sample was recruited from schools in the vicinities of Paris.

Authorizations were first sought from the headmaster and teachers and then the students' parents. Only children whose parents had agreed to participate were included in the results of this research.

241

242 **2.2. Material**

243 2.2.1. Creativity measure – Evaluation of Potential of Creativity (EPoC, Lubart, Besançon & 244 Barbot, 2011)

245 The authors considered creativity as a multifaceted, domain-specific construct, so instruments 246 to measure creativity may vary as a function of the domain-component aimed at being 247 measured. Moreover, it is possible to categorize the numerous micro-processes involve in 248 creative potential into two main sets, called divergent-exploratory processes, and convergent-249 integrative processes. According to this point of view, these tests battery measures two key 250 creative thinking-process clusters (divergent-exploratory and convergent-integrative) in 251 verbal-literary and graphic domains (with forthcoming extensions in other domains such as 252 social, scientific and musical domains, see Table 1). In the Divergent-Exploratory thinking 253 tasks for graphic domain (DG index), test-takers must generate as many drawings as possible 254 using a simple abstract shape (DG1 – Abstract Stimulus) or a familiar object (DG2 – Concrete 255 Stimulus) as starting point, in a limited time (10 minutes). Similarly, divergent-exploratory 256 thinking tasks in the verbal domain (DV index) consist of generating either multiple simple 257 story-endings in response to a unique story-beginning (DV1 – Story Endings), or multiple

258 story-beginnings in response to a unique story-ending (DV2 - Story Beginnings), in 10 259 minutes. In contrast, the convergent-integrative tasks in the graphic domain (IG index) engage 260 test-takers to produce a complete, original drawing, using at least four out of eight abstract 261 shapes (IG1 – Abstract Stimuli) or familiar objects (IG2 – Concrete Stimuli) provided as a 262 basis for their composition (within the 15 minutes allowed for these tasks). Similarly, in the 263 convergent-integrative tasks applied to the literary-verbal domain, test takers have to produce 264 a complete story either based on a provided story title (IV1 - Story Title), or on the 265 integration of imposed fictional characters (IV2 – Story Characters). 266 267 268 Insert Table 1 about here 269 270 271 Concerning Divergent-Exploratory thinking tasks, several studies show that fluidity is 272 strongly linked to the originality of ideas. Lubart et al. (2003) have shown that the more 273 original ideas tend to be produced later during divergent thinking. Hence Divergent-274 Exploratory thinking tasks are norm-referenced (comparison of an individual's number of 275 relevant responses generated in response to the task, in comparison to her or his reference 276 group), while Convergent-integrative tasks are assessed using the Consensual Assessment 277 Technique (CAT, Amabile, 1982), rated by at least three independent and qualified judges 278 (that is, the creative productions are assessed with regard to a set of defined rubrics¹, ranging 279 from "1-low creativity" to "7-high creativity"). Three raters ($M_{age} = 38.9$; SD = 4.7) assessed 280 story creativity and drawing creativity. Judges were university professionals or PhD students 281 who work regularly in the field of creativity. The inter-rater reliability is good ($\alpha > .80$) for 282 the four integrative tasks (α IG1 = .83; α IG2 = .85; α IV1 = .91 and α IV2 = .90). 283

¹ For example, score 1 in the integrative task graphic corresponds to the rubric "very poor, total lack of idea" whereas score 7 corresponds to "a very original idea that encompassed all elements". For the verbal integrative task, score 2 means "a story which includes banal or traditional ideas" and score 7 corresponds to an "original story, well built with many details".

284 2.2.2. Motivation measures

285 We used an adapted version of the 20 items Academic Motivation Scale (Vallerand, Blais, 286 Brière, & Pelletier, 1989). The adaptation consisted of adapting items to be more 287 comprehensive to early teenage students and we measured only one form of intrinsic 288 motivation out of three. Participants had to fill out the questionnaire by answering on a 5 point 289 Likert scale ranging from: "Totally disagree" to "Totally agree". This scale enables one to 290 measure: intrinsic motivation for knowledge (a=.84, eg. Because I experience pleasure and 291 satisfaction while learning new things), external regulation extrinsic motivation (α =.75, eg. 292 Because I want to have good life later on), introjected regulation extrinsic motivation (α =.82, 293 eg. Because of the fact that when I succeed in school I feel important), identified regulation 294 extrinsic motivation (α =.74, eg. Because this will help me make a better choice regarding my 295 career orientation), and amotivation (α =.77, eg. Honestly, I don't know; I really feel that I am 296 wasting my time in school). We only used intrinsic motivation because in the present study the other types of intrinsic motivation did not yield more information on the self-297 298 determination continuum contrary to the types of extrinsic motivation.

299

300 2.2.3. Well-being measures

We used the 5 items Satisfaction With Life Scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985) which is one of the most cited subjective well-being scale in research studies. The aim of integrating this scale was to have a global measure of individual subjective well-being through the assessment of general life satisfaction. The French validation was carried out by Blais, Vallerand, Pelletier, and Brière (1989). Participants answered each item (eg. In most ways my life is close to my ideal) on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from: "Totally disagree" to "Totally agree". Internal consistency of the scale was satisfactory (α =.86).

308 A second well-being measure was used: the 7 items Students' Life Satisfaction Scale 309 (Huebner, 1991). This scale aims at assessing student general satisfaction (eg. My life is better 310 than most kids). Participants rated their satisfaction on a six-point Likert scale ranging from: 311 "Totally disagree" to "Totally agree". Internal consistency of the scale was satisfactory 312 (α =.83).

313

314 **2.3. Procedure**

Students were seen in three successive collective sessions, per class, and each session (around
45 minutes each) was separated by one week. The battery EPoC was administered in two

sessions, each of which included four tasks (DG1, DV1, IG1, IV1 in the first session, and
DG2, DV2, IG2, IV2 in the second session). During the last session, students completed
motivation and well-being questionnaires.

320

321 **2.4. Data Analyses**

For the following statistical analyses, missing data (less than 5%) were imputed in order to complete the scale by using the SPSS (version 22) expectation-maximization procedure. This procedure is considered as superior to other methods (Allison, 2002) such as removing participants with missing data (list-wise deletion).

326

327 **3. Results**

328 **3.1. Preliminary analyses**

The results show that Waldorf students were slightly older (M=12.83, SD=0.66) than traditional school students (M=12.24, SD=.60; t(129)=13.70, p<.001). We will therefore control for age in further analyses.

332 In order to determine the number of factors to be extracted we used the SPSS procedure 333 developed by O'Connor (2000) using parallel analyses. These analyses are based on Monte 334 Carlo simulations which enable the number of factors which may be extracted from the set of 335 data to be determined while minimizing data loss and without enhancing random data. This 336 method consists of generating a hundred matrices of random numbers of similar size in terms 337 of participants and factors as the actual sample. The Eigenvalue of each factor extracted from 338 the matrices were used to calculate the mean and standard deviation of the distribution 339 randomly selected among the matrices identical to the set of data considered. The value corresponding to the 95th percentile was used as a threshold beneath which the factors are 340 341 considered as potentially randomly extracted (Cota, Longman, Holden, Fekken, & Xinaris, 1993; Turner, 1998). As shown in Table 2, the parallel analyses method enables to select only 342 two factors, as the value of the third factor (1.01) is inferior to the 95th percentile (1.18). 343

344

345	

- 346
- 347
- 348

Insert Table 2 about here

We selected an oblimin rotation because we hypothesized that the factors were correlated. The results of the principal component factor analysis with oblimin rotation explained 46.30% of the total variance. The first factor explained 29.82% of the total variance. After rotation, the four integrative thinking items of this factor (IT) presented loadings superior to .40 while the divergent thinking items (DT) all presented loadings inferior to .30. Conversely, on the second factor which explained 16.48% of the variance, after rotation the four DT items presented loadings superior to .40 whereas the IT items all presented loadings inferior to .30.

357 **3.2. Main results**

358 *3.2.1. Creativity*

For the variance analyses we carried out a MANOVA because the dependent variables were correlated and age difference between the two groups was significant and thus included as a control variable. There were no significant differences between Waldorf (M=0.14, SD=0.60) and traditional schools (M=-.09, SD=0.73) regarding Divergent Thinking (F[1,128]=0.22, p>.05) and Integrative Thinking (Waldorf: M=3.98, SD=0.71; traditional schools: M=3.43, SD=0.82; F[1,128]=2.18, p>.05, η^2 =.11).

365

366 *3.2.2. Self-determined motivations*

The results show a significant difference between the three types of extrinsic regulations (external, introjected and identified) and the type of educational method, as shown in Table 3. Students from the traditional educational system showed more extrinsic motivation than Waldorf school students. However, no significant difference appeared for intrinsic motivation scores (F[1,107]=0.00, ns), external motivation (F[1,107]=0.88, ns) or amotivation scores (F[1,107]=0.20, ns).

373	
374	<u> </u>
375	Insert Table 3 about here
376	
377	
378	
379	Insert Table 4 about here
380	

382 As shown in Table 4, significant correlations appear between the different types of 383 motivations and the creativity scores: negative correlations between extrinsic regulations and 384 integrative thinking scores, but the greater the degree of self-determination of the motivation 385 type the weaker the correlation: for the total sample, a negative correlation is observed for IT 386 and external regulation (r=-.27, p<.01), while the weakest negative correlation is between IT 387 and introjected regulation (r=-.22, p<.05) but no relation is observed with identified regulation (r=-.14, ns). However, this effect of the type of extrinsic motivation is mainly observed for the 388 389 Waldorf students. For this subsample, the relationship between IT and the different types of 390 regulations ranges from a .50 correlation (p<.01) to a -.39 correlation (p<.05), while in the 391 traditional school subsample there was no significant correlation between these variables. In 392 the traditional school subsample, the significant correlations concern IT and amotivation 393 scores (r= -.29, p<.01), and DT and introjected regulation (r= -.33, p<.01). The correlational 394 patterns between motivation and creativity are thus different according to the educational 395 methods under study.

396

397 *3.2.3.* Well-being

398 No significant difference between Waldorf (M=4.76, SD=1.14) and traditional school 399 (M=4.85, SD=1.48) students was observed for general satisfaction with life (F[1, 128]=0.12, 400 ns), but there were significant differences between Waldorf (M=4.36, SD=0.90) and 401 traditional school (M=4.18, SD=1.19) student life satisfaction scores F[1, 128]=8.20, p<.01). 402 When analyzing the Waldorf school subsample data, a negative correlation appears between 403 well-being measures and Integrative Thinking: SWLS and IT (r= -.48, p<.001), and SLSS and 404 IT (r=-.41, p<.001). The results suggest that the students who scored high on IT reported 405 lower levels of life satisfaction. No correlation was shown for the traditional school 406 subsample in what concerns the link between well-being and creativity.

- 407
- 408
- 409
- 410
- 411
- 412

Insert Table 5 about here

413 **4. Discussion**

414 Two main set of hypotheses were examined. The first one concerned the relationship between 415 pedagogical methods and creativity, motivation and well-being. Contrary to our expectations, 416 our results do not show an effect of the type of pedagogy on creative potential on Divergent or 417 Integrative Thinking. Several explanations can be put forward. First, the pedagogical methods 418 studied were different from the previous study carried out on this question (Waldorf for the 419 present study vs. Montessori and Freinet in the previous study). Second, the experimental 420 design was different: collective versus individual task completion. These differences should 421 be controlled in future research.

422 In what concerns motivation, our results show an effect of the type of school on extrinsic 423 motivation: students from traditional school settings were more extrinsically motivated than 424 students from the Waldorf school. These results are consistent with previous work (Deci et al., 425 1999, 2001). Regarding well-being, our results did not highlight any difference in general life 426 satisfaction, but in student life satisfaction. This may be explained by the fact that general life 427 satisfaction is influenced by other variables such as personality traits (DeNeve & Cooper, 428 1998) and family relationships (e.g., Bendayan, Blanca, Fernández-Baena, Escobar, & 429 Victoria Trianes, 2013), whereas student life satisfaction is directly impacted by educational 430 methods and systems (e.g., Shankland et al., 2010), and the way they influence teacher-431 student relationships, type of motivation and general relationships between students at school. 432 Our second set of hypotheses concerned the relationship between creativity and motivation on 433 the one hand and creativity and well-being on the other hand. Our results highlighted a 434 negative relationship between creativity and extrinsic motivation: the stronger the extrinsic 435 motivation, the less creative the children were on integrative thinking tasks. A pedagogy 436 focused on the development of individual potentialities generates less extrinsic motivation and 437 hence does not diminish the potential of integrative thinking. This finding is congruent with 438 previous work (Cooper & Jayatilaka, 2006; Furrer & Skinner, 2003; Ryan et al., 1994). 439 However, contrary to our expectations, our results did not show any relationship between 440 creativity and well-being, except for Waldorf school pupils with the opposite correlation to 441 that hypothesized: the more creative the pupils were, the less satisfied they were with their 442 current life. While only speculations can be proposed in the present case, we could 443 hypothesize that well-being measures may generally be completed with the intent to 444 communicate a good impression (social desirability). Therefore, the more the participant tries 445 to correspond to an awaited standard, the less creative they may be. The social desirability 446 bias could thus help us understand why greater creative performances in Waldorf students

447 were correlated to lower levels of satisfaction with life. This bias is recurrently underlined in 448 various research fields. Almost half the studies reported in van de Mortel (2008) showed an 449 influence of social desirability on self-reported measures, and social desirability has been 450 highlighted as being potentially an even greater bias in positive psychology research (Osin, 451 2009), as such studies tackle desirable phenomena such as well-being and flourishing 452 (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000).

453 Hence, a first limitation of the present study is the absence of use of a social desirability scale. A second important limitation concerns the fact that students were not randomly assigned to a 454 455 particular school setting. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether the educational 456 method in itself leads to higher creative performances as other factors have not been 457 controlled for. A third limitation concerns the focus on a single alternative pedagogy 458 (Waldorf). Indeed, each alternative school has it specificities which may differently impact 459 creativity and well-being. Further research studies should therefore include various 460 pedagogical methods, such as Montessori, Freinet and Waldorf. Moreover, it would be 461 interesting to compare the results on the EPOC battery obtained by these adolescent groups 462 with a more consequent reference group and to verify the factorial structure of the test. A 463 further limitation is the lack of information about the time the students have spent in their 464 present school system, information which should be included in future research in order to 465 control for this variable when measuring impact on creative potential.

To conclude, the results obtained in the present research study highlight lower levels of
extrinsic motivation in Waldorf schools which is linked to higher divergent creativity scores.
Future research studies on well-being may want to use other types of measures which can be
considered as health promotion factors rather than current life satisfaction.

470

472	
473	References
4/4	Alinghaiman A. & Mourrer Dounolds E. (2005). Teachers' concentions of exactivity and
475	Arjughannan, A., & Mowrei-Reynolds, E. (2005). Teachers conceptions of creativity and
470	Allison B (2002) Missing data Thousand Oaks CA: Sage Publications Amphila T. M.
477	(1022). Children's artistic creativity. Detrimental affects of competition in a field
470	(1982). Children's artistic creativity. Detrimental effects of competition in a field
479	Amphile T. M. (1989). A model of creativity and innegation in organizations. In B. Story &
480	Amable, 1. M. (1988). A model of creativity and innovation in organizations. In B. Staw &
481	L. L. Cummings (Eds.), Research in Organizational Benavior, 10, 125-167.
482	Amable, 1. M. (1996). Creativity in context: Update to the social psychology of creativity.
485	Bounder, CO, US: westview Press.
484	Asnoy, F. G., Isen, A. M., & Turken, A. U. (1999). A neuropsychological theory of positive
485	affect and its influence on cognition. <i>Psychological Review</i> , 106, 529-550.
486	Bendayan, R., Blanca, M. J., Fernandez-Baena, J. F., Escobar, M., & Victoria Trianes, M.
487	(2013). New empirical evidence on the validity of the Satisfaction with Life Scale in
488	early adolescents. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 29, 36-43.
489	Besançon, M. & Lubart, T. (2008). Differences in the development of creative competencies
490	in children schooled in diverse learning environments. Learning and Individual
491	Differences.Vol (18), 381-389.
492	Blais, M.R., Vallerand, R.J., Pelletier, L.G., & Brière, N.M. (1989). L'Échelle de satisfaction
493	de vie : Validation canadienne-française du "Satisfaction with Life Scale". Revue
494	canadienne des sciences du comportement, 21, 210-223.
495	Brunwasser, S. M., Gillham, J. E., & Kim, E. S. (2009). A meta-analytic review of the Penn
496	resiliency program's effect on depressive symptoms. Journal of Consulting and Clinical
497	Psychology, 77, 1042-1054
498	Cacha, F. B. (1976). Figural creativity, personality, and peer nominations of pre-adolescents.
499	Gifted Child Quarterly, 20, 187-195.
500	Cameron, J., & Pierce, W. D. (1994). Reinforcement, reward, and intrinsic motivation: A
501	meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 64, 363-423.
502	Carson, D. K., Bittner, M. T., Cameron, B. R., Brown, D. M., & Meyer, S. S. (1994). Creative
503	thinking as a predictor of school-aged children's stress responses and coping abilities.
504	Creativity Research Journal, 7, 145-158.

- 505 Christakis, D., Zimmerman, F. J. (2006). Media as a public health issue. Archives of
 506 Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, 160, 445-446.
- 507 Cooper, R. B., & Jayatilaka, B. (2006), Group creativity: The effects of extrinsic, intrinsic,
 508 and obligation motivations. *Creativity Research Journal*, 18, 2, 153-172.
- 509 Cotta, A. A., Longman, R. S., Holden, R. R., Fekken, G. C., & Xinaris, S. (1993).
- 510 Interpolating 95th percentile eigenvalues from random data: An empirical example.
 511 *Educational & Psychological Measurement, 53*, 585–596.
- 512 Cox, M. V., & Rowlands, A. (2000). The effect of three different educational approaches on
 513 children's drawing ability: Steiner, Montessori and traditional. *British Journal of*514 *Educational Psychology*, 70, 485-503.
- 515 Davis, M. A. (2009). Understanding the relationship between mood and creativity: a meta516 analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 108, 25-38.
- 517 De Dreu, C. K. W., Baas, M., & Nijstad, B. A. (2008). Hedonic tone and activation in the
 518 mood–creativity link: Towards a dual pathway to creativity model. *Journal of*519 *Personality and Social Psychology*, 94, 739-756.
- 520 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human*521 *behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- 522 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and
 523 the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11, 227-268.
- Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). The undermining effect is a reality after
 all-Extrinsic rewards, task interest, and self-determination: Reply to Eisenberger,
 Pierce, and Cameron (1999) and Lepper, Henderlong, and Gingras (1999). *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 692-700.
- 528 Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (2001). Extrinsic rewards and intrinsic motivation in
 529 education: Reconsidered once again. *Review of Educational Research*, 71, 1-27.
- 530 DeNeve, K. M., & Cooper, H. (1998). The happy personality: A meta-analysis of 137
 531 personality traits and subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124, 197-229.
- 532 Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95, 542–575.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale.
 Journal of Personality Assessment, 49, 71–75.
- Dusek, J. B., & Danko, M. (1994). Adolescent coping styles and perceptions of parental child
 rearing. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 9, 412-426.

- 537 Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden538 and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*. 56, 218-226.
- 539 Fredrickson, B. L., & Branigan, C. (2005). Positive emotions broaden the scope of attention
 540 and thought-action repertoires. *Cognition and Emotion*, 19, 313-332.
- 541 Fredrickson, B. L., Mancuso, R. A., Branigan, C., & Tugade, M. M. (2000). The undoing
 542 effect of positive emotions. *Motivation and Emotion*, 24, 237-258.
- Furrer, C., & Skinner, E. (2003). Sense of relatedness as a factor in children's academic
 engagement and performance. Journal of Educational Psychology, 95, 148-162.
- 545 Gardner, H. (1996). L'intelligence et l'école: La pensée de l'enfant et les visées de
 546 l'enseignement. Paris: Retz.
- 547 Horwitz, R. A. (1979). Psychological effects of the open classroom. *Review of Educational*548 *Research*, 49, 71-85.
- 549 Huebner, E. S. (1991). Correlates of life satisfaction in children. School Psychology
 550 Quarterly, 6, 103-111.
- Isen, A. (1999). On the relationship between affect and creative problem solving. In S.
 Russ (Ed.), *Affect, creative experience, and psychological adjustment* (pp. 3-19).
 Philadelphia, PA: Taylor and Francis.
- Isen, A. M., Daubman, K. A., & Nowicki, G. P. (1987). Positive affect facilitates creative
 problem solving. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 56, 1122-1131.
- Jesus, S. N., Rus, C., Lens, W., & Imaginário, S. (2013). Creativity and intrinsic motivation:
 A meta-analysis of the studies between 1990-2010. *Creativity Research Journal*, 25, 8084.
- Kaufman, J. C., & Beghetto, R. A. (2009). Beyond big and little: The four c model of
 creativity. *Review of General Psychology*, 13, 1-12.
- Kaufmann, G. & Vosburg, S. (2002). The effects of mood on early and late idea production. *Creativity Research Journal*, 14, 317-330.
- Kendall, S. (1992). *The development of autonomy in children: An experiment of the Montessori educational model*. Doctoral Thesis, Waldon University, Unites-States of
 America.
- Kliewer, W., & Lewis, H. (1995). Family influences on coping processes in children and
 adolescents with sickle cell disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 20, 511-525.
- Lillard, A., & Else-Quest, N. (2006). Evaluating Montessori education. *Science*, 313, 18931894.
- 570 See Fig.1

- Lin, W.-L., Tsai, P.-H., Lin, H.-Y., & Chen, H.-C; (2014). How does emotion influence
 different creative performances? The mediating role of cognitive flexibility. *Cognition and Emotion*, 28, 834-844.
- 574 Lubart T.I., Besançon M, Barbot B. (2011). *Evaluation du Potentiel Créatif. (EPoC)*. Paris,
 575 France : Editions Hogrefe.
- 576 Lubart, T., Mouchiroud, C., Todjman, S., & Zenasni, F. (2003). *Psychologie de la créativité*.
 577 Cahors, France: Armand Colin.
- Masmoudi, S., & Charaf, I. (2013). Verbal creativity and emotional valence: "I become more
 creative when I see a positive word". *European Revue of Applied Psychology*, 63, 219229
- McIntyre, J. G., & Dusek, J. B. (1995). Perceived parental rearing practices and styles of
 coping. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 24, 499-509.
- 583 Mellou, E. (1996). Can creativity be nurtured in young children? *Early Child Development*584 *and Care*, 119, 119-30.
- Mumford, M. D., Mobley, M. I., Uhlman, C. E., Reiter-Palmon, R., & Doares, L. M. (1991).
 Process analytic models of creative capacities. *Creative Research Journal*, 4, 91-122.
- 587 Murdock, M. C. (2003). The effects of teaching programmes intended to stimulate creativity:
 588 A disciplinary view. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 47, 339-57.
- 589 O'Connor, B. P. (2000). SPSS and SAS programs for determining the number of components
 590 using parallel analysis and Velicer's MAP test. *Behavior Research Methods*,
- 591 *Instrumentation, and Computers*, 32, 396-402.
- 592 Ochse, R. (1990). *Before the gates of excellence: The determinants of creative genius*. New593 York: Cambridge University Press.
- 594 Ogletree, E. J. (2000). Creative thinking development of Waldorf school students. *Trans* 595 *Intelligence Magazine*, 8, 1-6.
- 596 Osin, E. N. (2009). Social desirability in positive psychology: Bias or desirable sociality? In
- 597 T. Freire (Ed.), Understanding Positive Life Research and Practice on Positive
 598 Psychology. Climepsi: Lisabon, Portugal, 407-428.
- 599 Paulus, P. B., & Nijstad, B. A. (2003). *Group creativity: Innovation through collaboration*.
 600 New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rathunde, K., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2005). Middle school students' motivation and quality
 of experience: A comparison of Montessori and traditional school environments. *American Journal of Education*, 111, 341-371.

- Rose, S. E., Jolley, R. P., & Charman, A. (2012). An investigation of the expressive and
 representational drawing development in National Curriculum, Steiner and Montessori
 schools. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity and the Arts*, 6, 85-93.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research
 on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. In S. Fiske (Ed), *Annual review of psychology*(Vol 52, pp. 141-166). Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews, Inc.
- Ryan, R. M., Stiller, J. D., & Lynch, J. H. (1994). Representations and relationships to
 teachers, parents, and friends as predictors of academic motivation and self-esteem. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 14, 226-249.
- Ruchkin, V. V., Eisemann, M., & Hagglof, B. (1999). Coping styles in delinquent adolescents
 and controls: The role of personality and parental rearing. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 28, 705-717.
- Runco, M. A. (1986). Flexibility and originality in children's divergent thinking. *Journal of Psychology*, 120, 345-52.
- Runco, M. A. & Jaeger, G. J. (2012). The standard definition of creativity. *Creativity Research Journal*, 24, 92-96.
- Russ, S. W., Robins, A. L., & Christiano, B. A. (1999). Pretend Play: Longitudinal Prediction
 of Creativity and Affect in Fantasy in Children. *Creativity Research Journal*, 12, 129139.
- Seligman, M. E. P., Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist*, 35, 5-14.
- 625 Shankland, R. (2008). *Développer les compétences psychosociales*. Paris: L'Harmattan.
- Shankland, R., Genolini, C., Riou França, L., Guelfi, J.-D., & Ionescu, S. (2010). Student
 adjustment to higher education: The role of alternative educational pathways in coping
 with the demands of student life. *Higher Education*, 59, 353-366.
- Shankland, R., Riou França, L., Genolini, C., Guelfi, J.-D., & Ionescu, S. (2009). Preliminary
 study on the role of alternative educational pathways in promoting the use of problemfocused coping strategies. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 24, 499-512.
- 632 Shaw, M. P., & Runco, M. A. (1994). *Creativity and affect*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- 633 Snow, R. (1994). A Person-Situation Interaction Theory of Intelligence in Outline. In A.
- 634 Demetriou, & A. Efklides (Eds.), *Intelligence, Mind, and Reasoning: Structure and*635 *Development* (pp. 11-28). Amsterdam: Elveiser Science.
- 636 Sternberg, R. J., & Lubart, T. I. (1995). *Defying the crowd: Cultivating creativity in a culture*637 *of conformity*. New York: Free Press.

- Thomas, N. G., & Berk, L. E. (1981). Effects of school environments on the development of
 young children's creativity. *Child-Development*, 52(4), 1153-1162.
- To, M. L., Fisher, C. D., Ashkanasy, N. M., & Rowe, P. A. (2012). Within-person
 relationships between mood and creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97, 599-612.
- 642 Torrance, E. P. (1976). *Les tests de pensée créative*. Paris: Les Editions du Centre de
 643 Psychologie Appliquée.
- Tsai, P.-H., Lin, W.-L., & Lin, H.-Y. (2013). Right moods, right creativities: Differential
 effects of emotional states on divergent thinking and insight problem solving. *Bulletin of Educational Psychology*, 45, 19-38.
- Turner, N. E. (1998). The effect of common variance and structure on random data
 eigenvalues: Implications for the accuracy of parallel analysis. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 58, 541–568
- Vallerand, R. J., Blais, M. R., Brière, N. M., & Pelletier, L. G. (1989). Construction and
 validation of the Motivation Toward Education Scale. Canadian Journal of Behavioural
 Science, 21, 323-349.
- Van de Mortel, T. F. (2008). Faking it: Social desirability response bias in self-report
 research. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 25, 40-48.
- Woods, P., Ashley, M., & Woods, G. (2005). *Steiner Schools in England*. University of the
 West of England: Centre for Research in Education and Democracy.
- 657

659		
660		
661		
662		Tables
663	Table 1	

664 EPoC Structured framework for tasks sampling

	Thinking Process			
Domain	Divergent-Exploratory	Convergent-Integrative		
Cuanhia	DG1 - Abstract Stimulus	IG1 - Abstract Stimuli		
Graphic	DG2 - Concrete Stimulus	IG2 - Concrete Stimuli		
Verbal	DV1 - Story Endings	IV1 - Story Title		
verbai	DV2 - Story Beginnings	IV2 - Story Characters		

Table 2

669 Parallel analysis results

Factor	Eigenvalue	Mean	95%	
1	2.39	1.39	1.53	
2	1.32	1.23	1.32	
3	1.01	1.12	1.18	

Table 3

674 Motivation types, well-being, descriptive and inferential statistical analyses according to the

⁶⁷⁵ group (with age as controlled variable)

		n	Mean	SD	F[1,128]	η2
Amotivation	Waldorf	41	1.74	0.90		
	Traditional	90	1.68	0.87	0.00	0.00
	Total	131	1.70	0.88		
EM External	Waldorf	41	3.75	1.14		
	Traditional	90	4.38	0.63	0.88	0.01
	Total	131	4.19	0.87		
EM Introjected	Waldorf	41	2.94	1.06		
J	Traditional	90	3.76	.99	6.26*	0.05
	Total	131	3.50	1.08		
EM Identified	Waldorf	41	3.77	.88		
	Traditional	90	4.34	0.70	5.79*	0.04
	Total	131	4.16	0.80		
Intrinsic Motivation	Waldorf	41	3.47	0.87		
	Traditional	90	3.68	1.02	0.20	0.00
	Total	131	3.62	0.98		
	Waldorf	41	4.76	1.14		
SWLS	Traditional	90	4.85	1.48	1.46	0.01
	Total	131	4.82	1.38		
	Waldorf	41	4.36	0.90		
SLSS	Traditional	90	4.18	1.19	8.20**	.06
	Total	131	4.23	1.11		

677 Note: *p<.05 **p<.01

Table 4

683 Divergent and integrative thinking creativity scores partial correlations (with age as
684 controlled variable) with the different types of motivations

						Group
	Am	External	Introjected	Identified	IM	
DT	16	03	20*	07	11	n=131
IT	23**	27**	22*	14	10	Total
DT	14	.02	.14	.15	.19	n=41
IT	09	51**	50**	39*	12	Waldorf
DT	14	05	32**	15	19	n=90
IT	29**	11	08	.01	08	Traditional

685 Note: * p<.05; ** p<.01; ***p<.001

Table 5

690 Partial correlations (with age as controlled variable) between divergent or integrative

		DT	IT	SWLS	Group
	DT	1			
	IT	.28**	1		
	SWLS	08	08	1	Total
	SLSS	.02	01	.84***	n=131
	DT	1			
	IT	12	1		
	SWLS	20	48**	1	Waldorf
	SLSS	11	41**	.77***	n=41
	DT	1			
	TT GWH G	.41***	l	1	
	SWLS	04	.02	ا ۵۲۰۰۰	Traditional
(0)	SLSS Notes * m	.0/	.0/	.80***	n=90
092	Note: * p	<.03; *** p<.0	or, ****p<.00	1	
693					
694					
695					
696					
697					
698					
699					
700					
701					
702					
703					
704					
705					
706					
707					
708					
709					

691 thinking creativity scores and mean well-being score (n=131).

- 712 Figures
- 714 Figure 1: Mean score of Students' Life Satisfaction Scale (SLSS) according to the type of
- 715 educational method with age as controlled variable (Vertical bars denote 0.95 confidence
- 716 intervals).



- Amabile, T. M., Barsade, S. G., Mueller, J. S., & Staw, B. M. (2005). Affect and Creativity at
- 723 work. Administrative Science Quarterly, 50(3), 367-403.
- 724
- Hirt, E. R., Melton, R. J., McDonald, H. E., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (1996). Processing goals,
- task interest, and the mood–performance relationship: A mediational analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*(2), 245-261.
- 728
- 729 Examining the gambling behaviors of Chinese online lottery gamblers: Are they rational?
- 730 Yuan, Jia; Journal of Gambling Studies, Vol 31(2), Jun, 2015 pp. 573-584. Publisher:
- 731 Springer; [Journal Article]
- 732