



Psychological Disorders and Ecological Factors Affect the Development of Executive Functions: Some Perspectives

Rafika Zebdi, Louise Goyet, Charlotte Pinabiaux, Bahia Guellaï

► To cite this version:

Rafika Zebdi, Louise Goyet, Charlotte Pinabiaux, Bahia Guellaï. Psychological Disorders and Ecological Factors Affect the Development of Executive Functions: Some Perspectives. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 2016, 7, 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00195 . hal-01480085

HAL Id: hal-01480085

<https://hal.parisnanterre.fr/hal-01480085>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Psychological Disorders and Ecological Factors Affect the Development of Executive Functions: Some Perspectives

Rafika Zebdi^{1*}, Louise Goyet², Charlotte Pinabiaux³ and Bahia Guellai^{1,4*}

¹Laboratoire EvaCliPsy (EA CLIPSYD 4430), Université Paris Ouest Nanterre La Défense, Nanterre, France, ²Laboratoire Paragraphe (EA 349), Université Paris 8 Vincennes-Saint-Denis, Saint-Denis, France, ³Laboratoire CHArt (EA 4004), Université Paris Ouest Nanterre La Défense, Nanterre, France, ⁴Laboratoire Ethologie, Cognition, Développement, Université Paris Ouest Nanterre La Défense, Nanterre, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Rodrigo Grassi-Oliveira,
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do
Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Breno Satler Diniz,
Universidade Federal de Minas
Gerais, Brazil
Macon Rodrigues Albuquerque,
Universidade Federal de Minas
Gerais, Brazil

Bruno Kluwe Schiavon,
University of Zürich, Brazil

*Correspondence:

Rafika Zebdi
zebdi.r@gmail.com;
Bahia Guellai
bahia.guellai@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted
to Psychopathology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 01 July 2016

Accepted: 22 November 2016

Published: 07 December 2016

Citation:

Zebdi R, Goyet L, Pinabiaux C and
Guellai B (2016) Psychological
Disorders and Ecological Factors
Affect the Development of Executive
Functions: Some Perspectives.
Front. Psychiatry 7:195.
doi: 10.3389/fpsy.2016.00195

The links between deficits in executive functions (EFs) (e.g., mental flexibility, inhibition capacities, etc.) and some psychological disorders (e.g., anxiety and depressive disorders) have been investigated in the past decades or so. Observations evidenced that some deficits in working memory, planning, and mental flexibility were highly correlated with anxiety and depressive disorders. The majority of studies focused on adults' population, whereas it seems important to adopt a developmental perspective to fully understand the dynamic relation of these EF/psychological disorders. We suggest to focus on the following two axes in future research: (i) relations between EF and anxiety traits through development and (ii) the possible role of external factors such as parent-child relationships on the development of EF.

Keywords: psychological disorders, development, parenting, executive functions, children

INTRODUCTION

One possible short definition of executive functions (EFs) is that they are adaptive, goal-directed behaviors that enable individuals to override more automatic responses (1, 2). EFs reflect a cognitive function that incorporates a set of abilities, which aims to coordinate and control processes such as planning skills. From a developmental perspective, EFs play an important role in the development of learning and in socio-cognitive abilities (3, 4). Although the study of EF in adulthood has long been a field of active research, little is known about early EF development. One of the reasons for this gap is the lack of age-appropriate EF tasks. Nonetheless, some studies evidenced that the first 5 years of life play a critical role in the development of EF (5, 6). Early work on infants and primates suggests that the prefrontal cortex (PFC) is operative since birth in humans and in other species (7–11). In fact, children are born with the potential to develop these skills. Despite growing interest in the development of EF, it is not clear whether this development is associated with psychological factors and/or external ones. Indeed, some clinical studies evidenced that EF deficits can be impaired by specific psychological factors such as anxiety depression and stress in adults (12, 13), whereas other studies evidenced that ecological factors such as socioeconomic status (SES) could impact EF.

In the present paper, we propose to explore the possible links between the development of EF, psychological disorders, and ecological factors based on the following two axes: (i) the links between EF and psychological factors such as anxiety disorders and (ii) the possible role

of ecological factors such as macro- and micro-environmental characteristics on the development of EF.

RELATIONS BETWEEN EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS AND ANXIETY DISORDERS

In this section, we present how psychological disorders such as anxiety can affect the development of EF in adults and adolescents. The links between EF and anxiety are more and more explored since the last decades or so (14–17). Nonetheless, the relations between EF and anxiety from a developmental perspective are far from being established and clear. Still, we do not know much about the developmental trajectory of this link and the factors that could play a role.

Deficits in some aspects of EF such as attention and memory are frequently reported in studies on anxiety disorders in adults. The main anxiety disorders explored in these studies are obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), social anxiety disorder (SAD), generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), and panic disorder at a given age (children, teenagers, or adults).

Goussé et al. (18) found that adults with OCD symptoms have specific deficits in spatial working memory and planning. Memory, attention, and processing speed are also impaired in these adult populations (19). The dysfunction of EF, attention, verbal, and visual memory has been reported as being associated with PTSD in adults (20). Olff et al. (21) also indicate that PTSD patients have poorer flexibility and set shifting, planning, and working memory than trauma-exposed controls. Other studies have also shown deficits in EF in patients with SAD, such as poorer cognitive flexibility (22, 23). There are also links between panic disorders and deficits in EF in adults (24).

These relations between anxiety disorders and deficits in EF in adults can be in part explained by external factors. Indeed, stressful life events can cause temporary changes in PFC functioning, which negatively affects EF and results in impaired ability for cognitive inhibition (25).

Interestingly, the relations between anxiety disorders and EF impairment are present earlier than adulthood, but results are mixed. For example, teenagers (i.e., a non-clinical population), who present anxiety symptoms such as GAD, have been reported with deficits in EF in some studies (26). A study by Yang et al. (27) showed that children and adolescents with PTSD related to a natural disaster have deficits only in the emotional control domain compared with controls exposed to the same disaster. Other studies evidenced no links between GAD and EF in clinical adults' samples (14, 28). How can we explain those mixed results? One way would be to take a developmental perspective. For example, children with OCD symptoms showed deficits in mental set shifting supporting the frontal-striatal dysfunction hypothesis of OCD diagnosis in children as well as in adults (29). Van den Heuvel et al.'s (30) study supports the hypothesis that decreased dorsal prefrontal-striatal responsiveness is associated with impaired planning capacity in OCD patients. Because the described frontal-striatal dysfunction in OCD is independent of state anxiety and disease symptom

severity, they concluded that executive impairment is a core feature in OCD.

Other studies exist but they considered a broader range of disorders called "internalizing problems" that encompass anxiety disorders and depression. Riggs et al. (31) conducted a prospective study with 6- to 9-year-old children in regular classrooms over a period of 2 years. They found that proficient inhibitory control and sequencing ability were predictive of reduction of internalizing problems. Besides, some studies with children and adolescents with internalizing disorders have evidenced impaired performance on several aspects of the EF especially linked to anxiety profiles (29, 32, 33). For example, children with OCD have impaired perceptual organization ability under time pressure compared to a control population.

Longitudinal studies are needed to explore the developmental aspect of EF, but their existence is very sparse so far. Indeed, such studies demand a very large data collection with large samples and a lot of time for delayed results. Besides, in this particular area, the lack of developmental studies may be also explained by two factors: (1) late interest in studying the link between EF and psychological disorders through development trajectories (4) and (2) differences of conceptual models of anxiety disorders that are not easily understandable from a neuropsychological perspective (17). Until now the psychological models of anxiety have only been less integrated to neuroscience research (17, 34–36). Theoretical progress is necessary to fill the gap between neurobiological data, self-assessment, and clinical data. Sharp et al. (17) suggested the creation of transdiagnostics anxiety constructs that are particularly useful to connect the psychophysiological data and the psychological models of anxiety. More precisely, some studies evidenced both heightened right-lateralized activity and left-lateralized activity across a wide range of DSM anxiety disorders such as GAD, panic disorder, SAD, and OCD (37, 38). It is an important point as authors (17) suggest to disentangle between the anxious apprehension and the anxious arousal during the examination of anxiety with regard to other constructs such as EF to avoid confusion (39).

THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF ECOLOGICAL FACTORS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF EF

Based on Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (40), it might be hypothesized that some external factors could play a role in the development of EF. This hypothesis identifies some environmental factors with which an individual interacts, such as the macrosystem that refers to the cultural contexts, or SES in which individuals live, and the microsystem that describes the groups that directly influence the child's development (e.g., family members and language). Thus, in this section, we review possible links between the development of EF and some macro- and micro-environmental factors. Given its physiological characteristics such as longer maturation, the PFC is especially sensitive to ecological factors (41, 42). Therefore, EFs are thought to develop as a result of a dynamic interaction between the child's PFC and the external environment (43, 44). The link between characteristics of the child's environment, as well as the quality of

parent–child relationships, and child’s cognitive development has received growing interest in the past decades or so (45).

Ecological influences may be conceptualized at different levels including the macro-environments (i.e., cultural context, for example, SES), and the micro-environments (i.e., the family setting and parent–child relationships) (46, 47). Thus, microenvironmental factors such as parents “scaffolding” (48) means that a child reach higher levels of comprehension and skill acquisition, thanks to adults’ support. At the beginning, they are dependent on adult support, and then they become more independent of the way they acquire new knowledge. Thus, this support could help children to improve their executive function skills (learning to coordinate and control processes) before they must perform by their own. It is important for children to develop these skills through social relations. Indeed, social partners will teach them to cope with stress, to face issues, and to provide opportunities for directing their own actions (decision making) without any adult’s control. It was shown that adverse environments resulting from neglect, abuse, violence, stress, and SES of the family may expose children to toxic stress, which disrupts brain architecture and impairs and seriously delays the development of EF (49, 50). Also, stress, lack of sleep, loneliness, or lack of exercise each could impair EF (51).

Macro-Environmental Factors and the Development of EF

An important macro-environmental factor that seems to play a role on the development of EF is the characteristics of the family setting such as SES. Some studies demonstrated that family SES is associated with children’s working memory and cognitive control (52–55). More recently, Sarsour et al. (56) studied the independent and interactive associations between family SES and single parenthood to predict child EF. Single parent and family SES were associated with children’s inhibitory control and cognitive flexibility such that children from low SES families who were living with one parent performed less well on EF tests than children from similarly low SES who were living with two parents. Interestingly, this study demonstrates interactions between different external factors and children EF. Moreover, mediation models help us to better understand the interaction between macro-environmental and the development of EF: the links between SES and EF are at least partially explained by associated variations in parenting behaviors (57). Besides, the level of stress, associated with a negative affectivity, has also been pointed out for its mediation role between macro-environmental factors and the development of EF (58).

Micro-Environmental Factors and the Development of EF

The Case of Bilingualism

An important aspect in the child’s micro-environment is the language used at home and more specifically the fact that children may grow up in a mono- or bi-lingual environment. Some studies evidenced enhanced abilities of bilingual children to coordinate the executive control components required in performing complex tasks (59, 60). This link appears to be present

early in the development as an advantage for bilingual 7- to 12-month-old infants on inhibitory control and attention over monolingual infants of the same age has been evidenced (61, 62). Therefore, it seems that executive control develops earlier in bilingual children than in comparable monolinguals (63, 64). This advantage continues to be present later in the development as bilingual adults still outperform monolinguals on EF tasks (65–67). Therefore, the mastery of two languages provides bilingual speakers cognitive benefits over monolinguals, particularly on cognitive flexibility and selective attention. To the best of our knowledge, only one study explored the effect of the bilingualism factor on EF longitudinally showing a task-specific advantage in inhibitory control in bilingual toddlers (68). Another micro-environmental factor that influences the development of EF is the role of parenting.

The Role of Parenting

Vygotsky (69) accounted that the interaction with a more competent social partner, such as the parent, fosters children’s higher order cognitive functions. From this socio-constructivist point of view, the development of EF can be considered as a transfer from inter- to intra-personal regulation. The link between quality of parent–infant interactions and subsequent child’s EF has been explored only recently.

Three dimensions of parenting have been associated with the development of EF (70): scaffolding, sensitivity, and mind-mindedness. Most of the studies have focused on scaffolding. This large concept refers to how parental guidance enables children to achieve level of problem solving, which they could not have reached on their own. Hughes and Ensor (71) showed that maternal scaffolding was more predictable of the development of EF at 2–4 years than imitation model or than more global positive or negative models. In another study, Bernier et al. (72) explored the relationship between scaffolding (measured as autonomy support), sensitivity, and mind-mindedness on the one hand and conflict EF¹ and impulse control on the other hand. Parenting habits were observed during free play and problem solving sessions at 12, 18, and 23 months and were correlated with several measures of EF at 18 and 26 months in 80 mother–child dyads. Independent of maternal education and general cognitive ability, they found that (1) sensitivity at 12 months was predictive of the development of conflict EF at 26 months; (2) mind-mindedness at 12 months was predictive of working memory at 18 months and of increase in EF skills between 18 and 23 months; and (3) scaffolding at 12 months was predictive of working memory and categorization at 18 months and conflict EF at 26 months. In another study, Bernier et al. (73) investigated the link between maternal interactive behavior, paternal interactive behavior, and child attachment security between 1 and 2 years of age, and child EF at 2 and 3 years. The results suggest that parental behavior and child attachment are related to child performance on EF tasks especially on cognitive flexibility components. These findings suggest that parent–child relationships may play an important

¹Factors including measures of working memory, set shifting, and inhibition control.

role in children's developing self-regulatory capacities. More recently, Bernier et al. (74) confirmed this predictive role of attachment security at 2 years on the development of EF at 5–6 years.

The following two explanations can be made: (1) parenting provides the child with the social context in which to practice emerging regulatory skills and (2) parenting may affect brain structures involved in EF, especially the response to stress system, as it has been demonstrated in studies with animals (75). Indeed, Wagner et al. (76) evidenced that children with poorer EF had higher levels of salivary cortisol (i.e., related to stress level), and their parents reported higher parenting stress. Hence it seems that parenting and other psychological factors such as stress are important to understand the development of EF.

CONCLUSION

The idea of the present review was to explore the relations between EF and factors such as anxiety disorders and parenting through development. Much of the early influences on later EF dysfunctions appear to be transmitted through the quality of parent–child interaction during early childhood (57) and may also depend on the development of frontal brain areas and the stress response system. Interestingly, the studies reporting relationships between parenting practice and the development of EF have pointed out impacts only on some specific aspects of EF, namely, working memory and cognitive flexibility (71–73, 77). Possible hypothesis can be proposed to explain the link between EF, anxiety, and some ecological factors: (1) parenting is the main factor that impact EF development; (2) SES and bilingual environments are two important side factors playing a role in the development of EF as they are related to parental practices; and (3) poorer parenting behaviors together with a poor environment could impact EF development and predict anxiety symptoms. Interestingly, these

possible scenarios are linked together. Future studies should explore the neural and social mechanisms underlying the links between parent–child relationships and the development of EF. Here, we also highlight the links between some anxiety disorders and deficits in EF. Future clinical research should take into account the transdiagnostic anxiety construct (17). It is possible that different levels of anxiety apprehension and anxiety arousal could explain results observed in previous research on the link between anxiety and EF. If these two dimensions are taken into account in a transdiagnosis construct, we could precise which dimension is associated with which aspect of EF independent of the DSM diagnosis. Investigating this axis of research would also help clarifying the pathogenesis of diverse forms of anxiety and the potential deficits in EF through development. In that sense, it would be interesting to explore the multifactorial dimensions (i.e., internal and external factors: anxiety and parent–child relationships) on the development of EF. Innovative tools, providing the support for children to develop these EF skills at home, in early care units, and in education programs, will offer new perspectives to explore the influence of children environment, parenting behavior, and clinical profiles on early development of EF. Furthermore, if we have to take into account the developmental timetable, ecological and external factors, and the variability of the clinical symptomatology, new studies should investigate the development of EF from a longitudinal perspective with infants' population and the impact of this factors on EF (cognitive) development. These new directions of research could also help to promote clinical and neuropsychological tests and to set up remediation tools for very young children.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All the authors participated to writing of the paper. RZ and BG contributed equally to the writing.

REFERENCES

1. Lezak MD. *Neuropsychological Assessment*. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press (1995).
2. Mesulam MM. The human frontal lobes: transcending the default mode through contingent encoding. In: Stuss DT, Knight RT, editors. *Principles of Frontal Lobe Function*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2002). p. 8–30.
3. Lyon GR, Krasnegor NG, McMenamin S. Attention, memory, and executive function. *J Dev Behav Pediatr* (1996) 17(4):278. doi:10.1097/00004703-199608000-00014
4. Roy A, Le Gall D, Roulin JL, Fournet N. Les fonctions exécutives chez l'enfant: approche épistémologique et sémiologie clinique. *Rev Neuropsychol* (2013) 4(4):287–97. doi:10.3917/rne.044.0287
5. Welsh MC, Pennington BF. Assessing frontal lobe functioning in children: views from developmental psychology. *Dev Neuropsychol* (1988) 4:199–230. doi:10.1080/87565648809540405
6. Welsh MC, Pennington BF, Groisser DB. A normative-developmental study of executive function. *Dev Neuropsychol* (1991) 7:131–49. doi:10.1080/87565649109540483
7. Diamond A. Development of the ability to use recall to guide action, as indicated by infants' performance on AB. *Child Dev* (1985) 56:868–83. doi:10.2307/1130099
8. Diamond A. Developmental time course in human infants and infant monkeys, and the neural bases of inhibitory control in reaching. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* (1990) 608:637–76. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1990.tb48913.x
9. Diamond A. The development and neural bases of memory functions as indexed by the AB and delayed response tasks in human infants and infant monkeys. *Ann NY Acad Sci* (1990) 608:267–317. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1990.tb48900.x
10. Diamond A, Goldman-Rakic PS. Evidence for involvement of prefrontal cortex in cognitive changes during the first year of life: comparison of performance of human infant and rhesus monkeys on a detour task with transparent barrier. *Neuroscience* (1985) 11:832.
11. Diamond A, Goldman-Rakic PS. Comparison of human infants and rhesus monkeys on Piaget's AB task: evidence for dependence on dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Exp Brain Res* (1989) 74:24–40. doi:10.1007/BF00248277
12. Moritz S, Birkner C, Kloss M, Jahn H, Hand I, Haasen C, et al. Executive functioning in obsessive-compulsive disorder, unipolar depression, and schizophrenia. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* (2002) 17:477–83. doi:10.1093/arclin/17.5.477
13. Han G, Helm J, Lucha C, Zahn-Waxler C, Hastings PD, Klimes-Dougan B. Are executive functioning deficits concurrently and predictively associated with depressive and anxiety symptoms in adolescents? *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* (2016) 45(1):44–58. doi:10.1080/15374416.2015.1041592
14. Airaksinen E, Larsson M, Forsell Y. Neuropsychological functions in anxiety disorders in population-based samples: evidence of episodic memory dysfunction. *J Psychiatr Res* (2005) 39:207–14. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2004.06.001
15. Barrett LF. The conceptual act theory: a précis. *Emot Rev* (2014) 6(4):292–7. doi:10.1177/1754073914534479

16. Eysenck MW, Derakshan N, Santos R, Calvo MG. Anxiety and cognitive performance: attentional control theory. *Emotion* (2007) 7:336–53. doi:10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336
17. Sharp PB, Miller GA, Heller W. Transdiagnostic dimensions of anxiety: neural mechanisms, executive functions, and new directions. *Int J Psychophysiol* (2015) 98(2):365–77. doi:10.1016/j.jpsycho.2015.07.001
18. Goussé V, Delorme R, Chabane N, Perez-Diaz F, Flavie M, Mouren-Siméoni MC, et al. Fonctions exécutives dans le trouble obsessionnel compulsif: effet de l'âge de début des troubles. *Lencéphale* (2005) 31(6):666–71. doi:10.1016/S0013-7006(05)82424-8
19. Castaneda AE, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Marttunen M, Suvisaari J, Lönnqvist J. A review on cognitive impairments in depressive and anxiety disorders with a focus on young adults. *J Affect Disord* (2008) 106(1):1–27. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2007.06.006
20. Ferreri F, Lapp LK, Peretti CS. Current research on cognitive aspects of anxiety disorders. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* (2011) 24(1):49–54. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e32833f5585
21. Olf M, Polak AR, Witteveen AB, Denys D. Executive function in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and the influence of comorbid depression. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* (2014) 112:114–21. doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2014.01.003
22. Fujii Y, Kitagawa N, Shimizu Y, Mitsui N, Toyomaki A, Hashimoto N, et al. Severity of generalized social anxiety disorder correlates with low executive functioning. *Neurosci Lett* (2013) 543:42–6. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2013.02.059
23. Frick A, Howner K, Fischer H, Eskildsen SF, Kristiansson M, Furmark T. Cortical thickness alterations in social anxiety disorder. *Neurosci Lett* (2013) 536:52–5. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2012.12.060
24. Hovland A, Pallesen S, Hammar Å, Hansen AL, Thayer JF, Tarvainen MP, et al. The relationships among heart rate variability, executive functions, and clinical variables in patients with panic disorder. *Int J Psychophysiol* (2012) 86(3):269–75. doi:10.1016/j.jpsycho.2012.10.004
25. Thayer JF, Hansen AL, Saus-Rose E, Johnsen BH. Heart rate variability, prefrontal neural function, and cognitive performance: the neurovisceral integration perspective on self-regulation, adaptation, and health. *Ann Behav Med* (2009) 37(2):141–53. doi:10.1007/s12160-009-9101-z
26. Tempesta D, Mazza M, Serroni N, Moschetta FS, Di Giannantonio M, Ferrara M, et al. Neuropsychological functioning in young subjects with generalized anxiety disorder with and without pharmacotherapy. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* (2013) 45:236–41. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.06.006
27. Yang R, Xiang YT, Shuai L, Qian Y, Lai KY, Ungvari GS, et al. Executive function in children and adolescents with posttraumatic stress disorder 4 and 12 months after the Sichuan earthquake in China. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* (2014) 55(1):31–8. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12089
28. Smitherman TA, Huerkamp JK, Miller BI, Houle TT, O'Jile JR. The relation of depression and anxiety to measures of executive functioning in a mixed psychiatric sample. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* (2007) 22:647–54. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2007.04.007
29. Shin MS, Choi H, Kim H, Hwang JW, Kim BN, Cho SC. A study of neuropsychological deficit in children with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Eur Psychiatry* (2008) 23(7):512–20. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2008.03.010
30. Van den Heuvel OA, Veltman DJ, Groenewegen HJ, Witter MP, Merckelbach J, Cath DC, et al. Disorder-specific neuroanatomical correlates of attentional bias in obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, and hypochondriasis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (2005) 62(8):922–33. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.8.922
31. Riggs NR, Blair CB, Greenberg MT. Concurrent and 2-year longitudinal relations between executive function and the behavior of 1st and 2nd grade children. *Child Neuropsychol* (2004) 9(4):267–76. doi:10.1076/chin.9.4.267.23513
32. Beers SR, De Bellis MD. Neuropsychological function in children with maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* (2002) 159(3):483–6. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.159.3.483
33. Toren P, Sadeh M, Wolmer L, Eldar S, Koren S, Weizman R, et al. Neurocognitive correlates of anxiety disorders in children: a preliminary report. *J Anxiety Disord* (2000) 14(3):239–47. doi:10.1016/S0887-6185(99)00036-5
34. Lang PJ. Fear reduction and fear behavior: problems in treating a construct. In: Schlien J, editor. *Research in Psychotherapy III*. Washington, DC: APA (1968). p. 90–103.
35. Lang PJ. Anxiety: toward a psychophysiological definition. In: Akiskal HS, Webb WL, editors. *Psychiatric Diagnosis: Exploration of Biological Predictors*. New York: Spectrum (1978). p. 365–89.
36. Kozak MJ, Miller GA. Hypothetical constructs versus intervening variables: a reappraisal of the three-systems model of anxiety assessment. *Behav Assess* (1982) 14:347–58.
37. Heller W, Nitschke JB. The puzzle of regional brain activity in depression and anxiety: the importance of subtypes and comorbidity. *Cogn Emot* (1998) 12:421–47. doi:10.1080/026999398379664
38. Nitschke JB, Heller W, Miller GA. Anxiety, stress, and cortical brain function. In: Borod JC, editor. *The Neuropsychology of Emotion*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press (2000). p. 298–319.
39. Moser JS, Moran TP, Schroder HS, Donnellan MB, Yeung N. On the relationship between anxiety and error monitoring: a meta-analysis and conceptual framework. *Front Hum Neurosci* (2013) 7:466. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00466
40. Bronfenbrenner U. Ecological systems theory. In: Vasta R, editor. *Annals of Child Development. Six Theories of Child Development: Revised Formulations and Current Issues*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers (1992). p. 187–249.
41. Diamond A. Normal development of prefrontal cortex from birth to young adulthood: cognitive functions, anatomy, and biochemistry. In: Stuss DT, Knight RT, editors. *Principles of Frontal Lobe Function*. London: Oxford University Press (2002). p. 466–503.
42. Diamond A. The early development of executive functions. In: Bialystock E, Craik FIM, editors. *Lifespan Cognition: Mechanisms of Change*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press (2006). p. 70–95.
43. Calkins SD, Fox NA. Self-regulatory processes in early personality development: a multilevel approach to the study of childhood social withdrawal and aggression. *Dev Psychopathol* (2002) 14:477–98. doi:10.1017/S095457940200305X
44. Diamond A. All or none hypothesis: a global-default mode that characterizes the brain and mind. *Dev Psychol* (2009) 45:130–8. doi:10.1037/a0014025
45. Garon N, Bryson SE, Smith IM. Executive function in preschoolers: a review using an integrative framework. *Psychol Bull* (2008) 134:31–60. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.134.1.31
46. Baumeister RF, Vohs KD. Sexual economics: sex as female resource for social exchange in heterosexual interactions. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev* (2004) 8:339–63. doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_2
47. Hertzman C, Boyce T. How experience gets under the skin to create gradients in developmental health. *Annu Rev Public Health* (2010) 31:329–47. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.012809.103538
48. Vygotski LS. *Myslenie i rec'*. French translation: *Pensée et langage*. In: Sève F, editor. Paris: Messidor/Éditions Sociales (1934).
49. McClelland MM, Tominey SL. The development of self-regulation and executive function in young children. *Zero to Three J* (2014) 35:2–8.
50. Starcke K, Wiesen C, Trotzke P, Brand M. Effects of acute laboratory stress on executive functions. *Front Psychol* (2016) 7:461. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00461
51. Diamond A. Executive functions. *Annu Rev Psychol* (2013) 64:135–68. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
52. Farah MJ, Shera DM, Savage JH, Betancourt L, Giannetta JM, Brodsky NL, et al. Childhood poverty: specific associations with neurocognitive development. *Brain Res* (2006) 1110:166–74. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2006.06.072
53. Noble KG, Farah MJ, McCandliss BM. Socio-economic background modulates cognition-achievement relationships in reading. *Cogn Dev* (2006) 21(3):349–68. doi:10.1016/j.cogdev.2006.01.007
54. Noble KG, McCandliss BD, Farah MJ. Socioeconomic gradients predict individual differences in neurocognitive abilities. *Dev Sci* (2007) 10:464–80. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00600.x
55. Noble KG, Norman MF, Farah MJ. Neurocognitive correlates of socioeconomic status in kindergarten children. *Dev Sci* (2005) 8:74–87. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2005.00394.x
56. Sarsour K, Sheridan M, Jutte D, Nuru-Jeter A, Hinshaw S, Boyce WT. Family socioeconomic status and child executive functions: the roles of language, home environment, and single parenthood. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* (2011) 17:120–32. doi:10.1017/S1355617710001335
57. Rhoades BL, Greenberg MT, Lanza ST, Blair C. Demographic and familial predictors of early executive function development: contribution of a person-centered perspective. *J Exp Child Psychol* (2011) 108(3):638–62. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2010.08.004

58. He Z-H, Yin W-G. Family environments and children's executive function: the mediating role of children's affective state and stress. *J Genet Psychol* (2016) 177(5):143–55. doi:10.1080/00221325.2016.1218322
59. Bialystok E. Coordination of executive functions in monolingual and bilingual children. *J Exp Child Psychol* (2011) 110:461–8. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2011.05.005
60. Morales J, Yudes C, Gómez-Ariza CJ, Bajo MT. Bilingualism modulates dual mechanisms of cognitive control: evidence from ERPs. *Neuropsychologia* (2015) 66:157–69. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.11.014
61. Kovács AM, Mehler J. Cognitive gains in 7-month-old bilingual infants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2009) 106:6556–60.
62. Kovács ÁM, Mehler J. Flexible learning of multiple speech structures in bilingual infants. *Science* (2009) 5940:611–2. doi:10.1126/science.1173947
63. Adi-Japha E, Berberich-Artzi J, Libnawi A. Cognitive flexibility in drawings of bilingual children. *Child Dev* (2010) 81(5):1356–66. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01477.x
64. Bialystok E. Global-local and trail-making tasks by monolingual and bilingual children: beyond inhibition. *Dev Psychol* (2010) 46:93–105. doi:10.1037/a0015466
65. Bialystok E, Craik FI, Klein R, Viswanathan M. Bilingualism, aging, and cognitive control: evidence from the Simon task. *Psychol Aging* (2004) 19(2):290. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.19.2.290
66. Costa A, Hernández M, Sebastián-Gallés N. Bilingualism aids conflict resolution: evidence from the ANT task. *Cognition* (2008) 106:59–86. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2006.12.013
67. Prior A, MacWhinney B. A bilingual advantage in task switching. *Biling Lang Cognit* (2010) 13:253–62. doi:10.1017/S1366728909990526
68. Cristina Crivello C, Kuzyk O, Rodrigues M, Friend M, Zesiger P, Poulin-Dubois D. The effects of bilingual growth on toddlers' executive function. *J Exp Child Psychol* (2016) 141:121–32. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2015.08.004
69. Vygotsky L. Interaction between learning and development. In: Gauvain M, Cole M, editors. *Readings on the Development of Children*. New York: Scientific (1978). p. 34–41.
70. Carlson SM. Executive function in context: development, measurement, theory, and experience. *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev* (2003) 68(3):138–51. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5834.2003.06803012.x
71. Hughes CH, Ensor RA. How do families help or hinder the emergence of early executive function? *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev* (2009) 123:35–50. doi:10.1002/cd.234
72. Bernier A, Carlson SM, Whipple N. From external regulation to self-regulation: early parenting precursors of young children's executive functioning. *Child Dev* (2010) 81(1):326–39. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01397.x
73. Bernier A, Carlson SM, Deschênes M, Matte-Gagné C. Social factors in the development of early executive functioning: a closer look at the caregiving environment. *Dev Sci* (2012) 15(1):12–24. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01093
74. Bernier A, Beauchamp MH, Carlson SM, Lalonde G. A secure base from which to regulate: attachment security in toddlerhood as a predictor of executive functioning at school entry. *Dev Psychol* (2015) 51(9):1177–89. doi:10.1037/dev0000032
75. Gunnar MR, Fisher PA. The early experience, stress, and prevention network. Bringing basic research on early experience and stress neurobiology to bear on preventative interventions for neglected and maltreated children. *Dev Psychopathol* (2006) 18:651–77. doi:10.1017/S0954579406060330
76. Wagner S, Müller C, Helmreich I, Huss M, Tadić A. A meta-analysis of cognitive functions in children and adolescents with major depressive disorder. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* (2015) 24:5–19. doi:10.1007/s00787-014-0559-2
77. Bibok MB, Carpendale JIM, Müller U. Parental scaffolding and the development of executive function. *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev* (2009) 123:17–34. doi:10.1002/cd.233

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Zebdi, Goyet, Pinabiaux and Guellai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.