



**HAL**  
open science

**Corrigenda to “Isotopic and technological variation in prehistoric Southeast Asian primary copper production”  
2011, 38: 3309-3322**

Thomas Oliver Pryce

► **To cite this version:**

Thomas Oliver Pryce. Corrigenda to “Isotopic and technological variation in prehistoric Southeast Asian primary copper production” 2011, 38: 3309-3322. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 2013, 40 (6), pp.2783. 10.1016/j.jas.2013.02.006 . hal-01529130

**HAL Id: hal-01529130**

**<https://hal.parisnanterre.fr/hal-01529130>**

Submitted on 2 Oct 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Corrigenda to Pryce et al. 2011 *Isotopic and Technological Variation in Prehistoric Southeast Asian Primary Copper Production*, 2011, 38: 3309-3322.

Thomas Oliver Pryce

Whilst preparing the final publication of the “Southeast Asian Lead Isotope Project” (SEALIP) I noticed corrigenda concerning two bronze artefacts from the northern Thai primary copper production locale at Phu Lon - a casting drip (SEALIP/TH/PL/9) and an axe (SEALIP/TH/PL/10) – that have regrettably been published in a preliminary paper (Pryce *et al* 2011). The published images are correct (Figures 4 & 5), as are the contextual information (Table 1), and the raw lead isotope (LI) data themselves (Table 3). These LI determinations were plotted correctly in the 2D and 3D graphs of Figure 7, but the problem lies in their labels having been inversed. Please see Figure 1 of this note for the modified graphics.

Contrary to the previously published interpretation (Pryce *et al* 2011: 3320), the bronze axe can now be considered moderately consistent with Phu Lon’s (rather dispersed) production signature; meaning it was probably not an import and indeed supporting our technological data for Phu Lon copper smelting as well as mining activities (Pryce *et al* 2011: 3317-18). The bronze casting drip is highly inconsistent with the Phu Lon LI signature and probably represents metal recycling taking place on site. I accept full and sole responsibility for these corrigenda (which have been duplicated here, Pryce 2012: 121), and apologise for any inconvenience caused.

Pryce, T. O. 2012. A flux that binds? The Southeast Asian Lead Isotope Project. In: Jett, P. & Douglas, J. (eds.) *Proceedings of the 5th Forbes Symposium on ancient Asian bronzes*. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian, 113-121.

Pryce, T. O., Brauns, M., Chang, N., Pernicka, E., Pollard, M., Ramsey, C., Rehren, T., Souksavatdy, V. & Sayavongkhamdy, T. 2011. Isotopic and technological variation in prehistoric primary Southeast Asian copper production. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 38, 3309-3322.