



HAL
open science

Delivering to Urban Online Shoppers: The Gains from “Last-Mile” Pooling

Bruno Durand, Sonia Mahjoub, Marie-Pascale Senkel

► **To cite this version:**

Bruno Durand, Sonia Mahjoub, Marie-Pascale Senkel. Delivering to Urban Online Shoppers: The Gains from “Last-Mile” Pooling. Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal, 2013, 14, pp.4 - 2013. hal-01766913

HAL Id: hal-01766913

<https://hal.parisnanterre.fr/hal-01766913>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Delivering to Urban Online Shoppers: The Gains from “Last-Mile” Pooling

Bruno Durand

University of Nantes
LEMNA, France
bruno.durand@univ-nantes.fr

Sonia Mahjoub

Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire,
Agroalimentaire et de l’Alimentation
ONIRIS, France
sonia.el-mahjoub@oniris-nantes.fr

Marie-Pascale Senkel

University of Nantes
LEMNA, France
marie-pascale.senkel@univ-nantes.fr

In an era when B2C is developing at a steady pace, it is essential to be concerned with the ramifications of delivering to urban online shoppers, whether the goal is home delivery of goods ordered online or delivery to a nearby pickup point. This article aims to examine this problem, restricting itself to non-food items. With cooperation enabling the obstacle of the “last mile” to be overcome, the emphasis is clearly on the concept of logistic pooling. In more practical terms, we will present three scenarios of delivering to urban online shoppers. We will then analyse these scenarios using accurate simulations, with a view to measuring their respective performance levels, economically as well as ecologically speaking.

Key words: city logistics, pooling, home delivery (HD), delivery to a nearby pickup point (NPP), local delivery depot (LDD)

Introduction

The transport of goods in urban areas has long been subjected to twofold ignorance: politically and scientifically (Patier, 2002). Not until the 1970s did public authorities start drawing up the first urban mobility plans (Dufour & Patier, 1997), in effect the first regulations governing the transport of merchandise within towns. This progressive and collective awareness bore in mind that, within an urban area and its suburbs, the transport of goods for delivery and collection takes up about 30% of road space. With the revival of retail outlets in town centres it is now more important than ever to avoid all road congestion and pollution (atmospheric and noise). Experts at *Centre d’Etudes sur les Réseaux, les Transports, l’Urbanisme et les Constructions Publiques* (Centre for Studies on

Networks, Transportation, Urbanism and Public Buildings) suggest this be achieved through controlling vehicle movements, particularly when deliveries are involved (Thévenon, 2011).

At a time when B2C e-commerce is developing at a steady rate (about 15% increase in France in 2013, according to the French *Fédération du E-commerce et de la Vente à Distance* [Federation for E-Commerce and Distance Selling]), it is impossible to remain indifferent to its impact on logistics. The problems raised by delivering to urban online shoppers should therefore be of primary concern. The upheaval is sizeable, because B2C sales involve either direct home delivery (HD) of the products purchased online or delivery to a nearby pickup point (DPP). These seemingly straightforward practices are, in reality, not that simple, especially when towns



© Copyright KEDGE BS
ISSN print 1625-8312
ISSN online 1624-6039

already suffer from traffic congestion. The development of e-commerce has therefore made traditional transport practices extremely more complex and has given rise to new methods as well as to the appearance of new logistics service providers (LSP). Indeed, it is a question of an entirely new logistics, more diffuse and widespread (as opposed to mass logistics in the case of B2B). This new logistics has been dubbed *e-logistics* or *net-logistics*.

There are currently 34 million online consumers in France, and as a result, about 34 million homes to deliver to (representing more or less the total number of households in France). Even if this number remains constant, the number of parcels to be delivered to private individuals (more than 600 million in 2013 and more than likely more than 700 million in 2014) will continue to increase at a significant rate and should soon reach several units delivered per month or even per week. We must not lose sight of the fact, however, that the average number of purchases by online consumers is currently only 16 per annum (compared to 7 per annum in 2006). Urban logistics could therefore, in the immediate future, be a key factor in the growth – or indeed the failure – of online sales because delivering increasing numbers of parcels to urban households is in danger of becoming more and more complicated unless current distribution models are called into question. We could be tempted to note that the issue of urban distribution has already been partially resolved inasmuch as e-logistics have improved considerably. This would be speaking too soon, however, because although systems are in place for HD and DPP, we can question whether or not they are sufficient to be able to really cope with the increased demands of tomorrow's online shoppers. Can the current logistics model guarantee a steady growth of B2C and at the same time develop a longer perspective of sustainable development, particularly in ecological and economic terms?

It is for this reason we wish to mainly concentrate in this article on the issue of distribution to urban online shoppers and to limit our research to non-food items. Our research, carried out in particular through LSP, has enabled us to clearly define the different ways of overcoming the obstacles inherent in delivering parcels to individual households. In the face of the recurrent problems towns come across in their logistics management, we are more and more convinced that cooperation among all those involved can overcome the obstacle of the "last mile". Moreover, this is why, along the way, we are interested in the concept of logistics pooling. The specific aim of our article is to present scenarios showing the changes in urban domestic distribution and to demonstrate

**There are currently
34 million online
consumers in France,
and as a result,
about 34 million
homes to deliver to.**

that pooling of physical flows represents a real logistical performance vector, from as much an economic as ecological point of view. Through our different lines of investigation, generally through direct discussions with experts, we have been able to identify three key development scenarios: (1) "0-pooling"; (2) partial pooling through nearby pickup points; and (3) pooling via a local delivery depot, guaranteeing an "all-in-one" solution with either a single home delivery or collection.

The "0-pooling" scenario

In this first scenario, delivery companies, and in particular parcel services and express delivery

services, look to improve their level of performance by trying to reduce the rate of failure to deliver to online shoppers (FDOS) or by reducing CO2 emissions during a delivery round. It should be stressed that any attempts at improvement are done on a purely independent basis, that is, "every person for him- or herself". There is no noticeable intragroup cooperation nor, indeed, between competitors. Some operators tend towards greater use of technology (managing the delivery rounds in real time to avoid wasted stops). Others opt for use of more compact, cleaner vehicles (for example, by changing part of their fleet to electric). It can be added that urban parcel delivery services are rarely just B2C: they generally consist of a mix of both B2C and B2B. This subsequently reduces productivity of these mixed rounds compared to those purely dedicated to B2C. Because it is practically impossible to increase the time of a delivery round, the tendency is for the quantity of parcels delivered per round to decrease. This is for the simple reason that the average number of parcels delivered to door is noticeably decreasing. There is generally just one item delivered in B2C, whereas the number of households to deliver per round is on the increase.

The key aim concerning B2C is to reduce the rate of failure to deliver first time to online shoppers. According to operators, this failure rate stands currently between 10% and 40% of total households to be delivered. More specifically the aim would be to reduce that figure to zero. That means successfully delivering to all online shoppers at the first attempt, which represents a huge challenge. Some LSP are already achieving this by delivering to customers only when they are sure to be able to, generally following a confirmation phone call. The aim is to not incur unnecessary kilometres when a customer is not at home, nor add supplementary kilometres associated with re-deliveries. This then improves time efficiency because delivery rounds are shorter. Noise pollution and

atmospheric pollution – through fewer greenhouse gas emissions – are also reduced.


Various solutions are recommended (Patier, 2009), in particular more dynamic operation techniques, which combine established information about the customer during the delivery round, for example, absence due to unforeseen circumstances or lateness when presence for the delivery is essential. Obviously this type of dynamic delivery management is possible only if the online consumer gives updates as to his or her availability. Only then can dynamic delivery management lead to a reduction in operating costs and greenhouse gas emissions. We should underline that such undertakings tend to be on an individual basis and devoid of any intention to cooperate intragroup in the long term, particularly through flows pooling. The emphasis seems to be on developing quickly and innovating before the competition does in order to gain a clear competitive edge. Under these circumstances, the performance level of urban logistics, whilst improving in terms of economic and ecological impacts, remains too modest to lead to a significant reduction in pollution caused by transport of goods in urban areas.

In order to accurately evaluate performance levels in the distribution of parcels to households, we carried out 15 expert interviews in Nantes and Rennes town centres and suburbs with the most important operators in home delivery services (La Poste Group, DHL, Géodis, Schenker, Dachser, Deret, Colis Privé, Girard Agediss). These interviews were constructed around two key subjects: (1) their experiences of pooling in B2C and (2) the economic and environmental results of these experiences. These interviews were often followed up by in situ observations to enable us to better visualise the physical and informational constraints associated with managing the final delivery. We were therefore able to check that these e-LSP (La Poste and the main parcel and express delivery companies) are in direct competition when it comes to HD. That is, that each company relies on its own network. There is no collaboration at all among the different operators. We refer to this as “no pooling” or “0-pooling”. All delivery rounds are separate, which means that the same online shopper (or even retailer) can be disturbed several times in the same day or evening by different delivery companies. Looking at Figure 1 this can be seen to affect in particular customer 4 (or retailer C+2).

If the Internet customer is not at home to receive a delivery, has a non-standard letterbox (in particular too small), or if a signature is essential, then this is in effect a failure to deliver. At La Poste Group, the level of failure that they refer to as “delivery pending” is in the region of 10% to 15% of total online shoppers to be delivered, which seems reasonable. However, for some express delivery services, this rate can be close to 40% (see Box 1). We can add, however, that the various expert interviews carried out have revealed the main reason for failure to deliver to be poor quality of information. Indeed, in 50% of cases, the failure of a home delivery is more likely to be because of having the wrong or incomplete address, no telephone number or e-mail address or these being wrong, or no entry code to a secure residence, rather than the customer not being there.

We can consider the first scenario of deliveries to urban households as a reference model inasmuch as this was what was used in the early days of B2C. If we look even closer at deliveries to online shoppers in the hyper-centre of Nantes, using this model the operating costs have been calculated at 5,750 € net per day. On the environmental level, CO₂ emissions have been evaluated

Figure 1
Scenario of HD to urban online shoppers with no load pooling among rival operators



Box 1: "0-pooling" of deliveries to online shoppers in Nantes

Every day, around 907 online shoppers in the hyper-centre of Nantes receive a home delivery. At an average of 1.1 parcels per delivery, this gives a total of 998 parcels to deliver. To enable this, about 10 logistics operators (postal, parcel, and express services) operate around 23 mixed delivery rounds (households and retailers):

- The main operator (postal) alone delivers 648 parcels (65% of the total) using nine delivery rounds. For each of these rounds, 90% of parcels are for households with an average of 65 deliveries to online shopper, making a total of 72 addresses at a rate of nine per hour.
- The other "parcel" or "express" operators deliver the remaining 350 parcels by way of 14 delivery rounds. Of these parcels 75% are for retailers with an average of 23 deliveries to online shoppers, making a total of 48 addresses at a rate of six per hour.

These operators deliver to the town from branches situated on average 15km away from the very centre. The average length of a delivery round is 45km: 30km outbound and 15km actually carrying out deliveries. The average operating cost of a delivery round is estimated at 250 € net.

Two-thirds of vehicles used by postal operators are diesel or petrol powered, in the LCV category (light commercial vehicles), with CO₂ emissions of 0.3194 kg per km. The remainders are electric LCV with CO₂ emissions of 0.05 kg per km. As for parcel and express services, 72% of the vehicles used are petrol or diesel powered, six 10T trucks with CO₂ emissions of 0.5324 kg per km, and four LCV with 0.3194 kg emissions per km. Four of the 14 delivery rounds are also carried out using electric LCV with CO₂ emissions of 0.05 kg per km.

The concept of failure to deliver first time to retailers is non-existent but generally greater than 10% for home online shoppers: 5% to 14% for postal service deliveries; 13 to 40% for parcel and express service deliveries.

Table 1
Economic and ecological impacts of failure to deliver first time, with 100% HD

Rate of failure to deliver 1st time to online shopper	Simul 1	Simul 2	Simul 3	Simul 4
- 9 "postal" service rounds	14%	11%	8%	5%
- 14 parcel service rounds	40%	31%	22%	13%
Total of Failure to Deliver to Online Shoppers (FDOS)	207	161	115	78
Percentage of FDOS	23%	18%	13%	9%
Total cost of FDOS	937 €	729 €	521 €	344 €
Total CO₂ emissions (Kg) from FDOS	51.0	39.6	28.4	18.3
Percentage of CO₂ emissions from FDOS to total emissions	17%	13%	9%	6%

at just over 300 kg per day. During our research into the first scenario, our main interest was in calculating, through a series of simulations, the impact of a failure to deliver first time on the following levels: (1) economic, by evaluating the cost of that failure to deliver to online shoppers, and (2) ecological, by calculating the CO₂ emissions attributable to this failure to deliver to online shoppers. Table 1 gives a summary of findings using four particularly pertinent simulations. We must draw attention to the impact on cost and CO₂ emissions as a result of reduction in the rate of failure to deliver, and therefore better quality of information, notably between simulation 1 (23% not delivered) and simulation 4 (9% not delivered). The totals for both have been divided by three.

The scenario of pooling via nearby pickup points

In the second scenario, that of partial pooling via nearby pickup points (NPP), parcels are picked up directly by the consumer at local retail outlets. This option bypasses the tricky problem associated with HD: that of absent customers when there is a need for their presence, for example, to accept an oversized parcel or sign for a delivery. This way there is no failure to deliver because the parcel is deposited at a local retail unit, which effectively doubles as an NPP inasmuch as it is situated in an area already frequented by the online shopper and that opening hours are fairly long. Today in France, there are a handful of structured NPP operators with four very

competitive and close-knit networks, all with around 5,000 NPP. Some of them, such as Relais Colis (La Redoute group) and Mondial Relay (3 Suisses group), came about as a result of mail-order sales. Others, such as Kiala and Pickup Services (La Poste group) have appeared over the course of the last 10 years.

Through these networks of NPP we have touched on a first type of pooling, inasmuch as a NPP is not just dedicated to one online shopper compared to a letterbox at home. It is shared by online shoppers living in the same area. We must quickly emphasize, however, that there is still no consolidation among the different logistics operators of NPP networks. The situation is quite the

opposite, which means that the same online shopper, for example customer 3 in Figure 2, may have to visit several NPP used by rival networks depending on what has been ordered online and the logistics agreements signed between online retailers and the NPP networks. This could mean a trip to an NPP, then to a Kiala point, followed by another to a Mondial Relay or Relais Colis point. Thankfully, the close proximity of these locations, only several hundred metres from the online shopper's home, means the trip can be done using relatively "clean" methods of transport, such as on foot or by bicycle. The incentive for Internet consumers to use such practices, which has come about as a result of organisational innovation, contributes a priori to the improvement in the performance level of deliveries to urban

households: (1) from an economical viewpoint through a reduction in operating costs as a result of there being no failure to deliver (or any parcels waiting to be dispatched) and (2) from an environmental viewpoint as a result of reduction in CO2 emissions. This is because online shoppers make their own way to NPP, using no unnecessary or extra kilometres, via methods of transport that result in little or no pollution.

Of course, the scenario of all deliveries to online shoppers through NPP does not exist. They are not exclusively carried out through these locations. However, delivery via NPP, which is the preferred solution for 51% of the French public (according to a survey by Ifop-Generix [2012]), coexists with HD, which in turn is favoured by 85% of online buyers in

France. As a result of this, the second scenario is mixed. Furthermore, within the scope of simulations carried out in Nantes centre and suburbs, we sought to vary the proportion of online shoppers delivered to via NPP, from between 0 (refer to scenario 1) and 35%, which is close to the current tendency. Table 2 gives a global illustration of economic and ecological impacts of deliveries via NPP.

We cannot help but notice that the impact of this use of NPP is very positive on two levels. There is a reduction in operating costs of 26% between the first and last scenarios as well as a 30% reduction in CO2 emissions. Use of NPP has also resulted in a noticeable increase in the average number of parcels delivered per stop (15 parcels on average per NPP), which in turn

Figure 2
Scenario of deliveries to urban online shoppers through NPP, with no pooling among rival transporters




Table 2
Economic and ecological impacts of deliveries via NPP

	Number of HDs to Online Shoppers	Nb of Online Shoppers using NPP	Nb of Urban Delivery Rounds	Total Operating Costs	Difference	CO2 Emissions (Kg)	Difference
Scenario "100% HD"	907	0	23	5,750 €		303	
Mixed scenario "85% HD"	769	138	21	5,158 €	-10%	282	-7%
Mixed scenario "75% HD"	677	230	19	4,714 €	-18%	254	-16%
Mixed scenario "65% HD"	585	322	17	4,258 €	-26%	213	-30%


Table 3

Economic and ecological impact of failure to deliver first time, with 25% use of NPP

Rate of failure to deliver 1st time to online shopper	Simul 1	Simul 2	Simul 3	Simul 4
- 9 "postal" service rounds	14%	11%	8%	5%
- 4 parcel service rounds	40%	31%	22%	13%
- 6 "retailers & NPP" service rounds				
Total of Failure to Deliver to Online Shoppers (FDOS)	117	91	65	48
Percentage of FDOS	13%	10%	7%	5%
Total cost of FDOS	469 €	365 €	260 €	188 €
Total CO2 emissions (Kg) from FDOS	23.7	18.4	13.2	9.2
Percentage of CO2 emissions from FDOS to total emissions	9%	7%	5%	4%

Figure 3

The LDD, a key element for urban pooling



means an improvement in productivity per round (125 parcels delivered on average as opposed to 100) as a result of the marked reduction in the number of rounds (17 as opposed to 23). Of course, simply improving the quality of information reduces the failure rate of first deliveries, which in turn leads to an improvement in the performance level of HDs, as Table 3 shows. The four simulations proposed all assume a 25% use of NPP. Once again, we can see quite a large difference with results halved compared to those found in the first scenario in Table 1.

The scenario of pooling via a local delivery depot

Cooperation has today become an important topic in inter-organisational relationships (Senkel et al., 2013). With initial interest in the synergy created as a result of vertical cooperation between supply chain protagonists, logistics research naturally turned to horizontal relationships, one commonly used expression being

load pooling. In the face of various pressures, all those concerned have been obliged to find new strategic means, cooperation being one of these (Pan, 2010). Van Lier et al. (2010) make it clear that this can be carried out effectively *“between protagonists on the same level, for example between suppliers, between providers, or between distributors in a supply chain. The introduction of this principle has as its objective the sharing of dedicated set-ups and logistics networks. In other words, it’s about doing pooling”*. Of course, deliveries in dense urban areas are also subject to this current trend for economic and ecological reasons (Fulconis et al., 2011). The role of LSP is particularly emphasized in the management of these generally innovative processes, processes that are given more and more credibility through policies.

Thus, concerning transport of goods in urban areas, a single idea is progressively gaining ground, translated by a true awareness and real development in the thinking

process of different protagonists. This idea is delivery pooling, in particular concerning the “last mile”. The significant progress in B2C, coupled with the new lease of life in town centres through a revival of local shops, is the reason it has come about. Although retailers in the past have not always been too concerned about deliveries, the expected impact of online retailing requires, henceforth, a completely different attitude. However, there lies a gulf between accepting pooling as a worthy idea and actually putting it into practice operationally.

Within the boundary of the third scenario of development, the e-LSP – mostly parcel service – remains in competition but are looking this time to collaborate over the last few miles. The question is whether online shoppers are prepared to be disturbed at untimely hours in the same evening by several delivery services. Would they not prefer, for example, on a Saturday morning, to do the rounds of several NPP to pick up what they have bought

online? It is quite clear that if consumers have chosen to buy online to reduce time spent going out to the shops, they are not going to want this additional bother. It therefore seems essential to be able to limit this inconvenience, which otherwise could hinder the development of online retailing as well as increase the congestion in town centres and pollution associated with the increased traffic (Gratadour, 2004). What is necessary, therefore, is to develop solutions that tend towards only “one ring of the doorbell” to minimise disturbance and the number of journeys. This problem of optimising distribution over the last mile raises awareness of the need for areas of pooling through local infrastructure. Fairly moderately sized LDD, around 200m² to 250m², located in the hyper-centre of towns seems to be a good-enough answer (see Figure 3).

Goods ordered online by shoppers living in the town centre can, effectively, be quite easily grouped together in a single LDD to then be “assembled” by the addressee (Dablanc, 1998; Yrjölä, 2003). This then reduces the number of HD and pickup operations (an LDD can double up as an NPP) and reduces the total number of kilometres travelled and the time spent carrying out deliveries, which automatically means a reduction in costs and CO₂ emissions. Pollution is diminished by fewer vehicles on


the roads and shorter journeys required by those vehicles (Boudouin & Morel, 2002). Therefore, as Patier (2002) underlines, the determining factor is the number of freight-handling locations and how well situated they are within the town. It must also be stressed that the success in practice of these operations of consolidation and the efficient processing of parcels imply the availability of a minimum amount of quality information to all operators, in particular through the use of standard directional labelling. Pooling of physical flows requires, as a result, a partial consolidation of informational flows, for example, via a virtual consolidation platform with addresses, contact details, availability, bar code monitoring, and so on to enable the e-LSP responsible for the last mile to be completely successful in the delivery task.

After the first two scenarios, that of 0-pooling and pooling via NPP, it must be stressed that the third, that of pooling via a LDD, represents a significant break from usual behaviour. It reveals a real willingness to improve performance of distribution to urban online shoppers on a long-term basis. For the upstream journey, notably to the LDD as part of dedicated B2B deliveries, all the LSP work independently of their colleagues and competitors, continuing to rely on their own networks. However, on the

downstream part of the delivery, which represents the last few kilometres in town, the LSP consolidate their loads. From one or several LDD, they attempt to achieve the “all-in-one” delivery (see Figure 4), that is, the delivery of all parcels in one go or the pickup operation of these same parcels again in one go (“only one-stop pickup”). It must be acknowledged that since 2011, the LSP taking on the last-mile deliveries to online shoppers in Nantes hyper-centre have made real progress: *“for parcel service delivery, the final kilometres are the most costly and time-consuming. They are the cause of a variety of pollutions and are the least profitable . . . We should, from now on, think about handing them over to specialists who have the tools to deal with them (fleets of small clean vehicles) and who are capable of pooling, leaving us to get on with what we do best”*.

In the more specific case of delivery to online shoppers in the hyper-centre of Nantes via an LDD (located near Place du Commerce), according to the basic model and using the statistics in Box 2, the total operating cost is calculated at 5,300 € net per day. That represents an increase of 24% compared to the second scenario, which can be explained by the trans-shipment cost imposed for using the LDD and the increased number of delivery rounds (22 as opposed to 17). We should remind

Figure 4
Scenario of HD and pickup operation for urban online shoppers, with load pooling via a LDD



Box 2: Delivery to online shoppers in Nantes via a LDD

Each day in Nantes centre about 1,000 parcels are delivered to just over 900 online shoppers at their homes. To enable this, around 10 LSP operate 22 delivery rounds, one fewer than in the 0-pooling scenario (first scenario). The leading "postal" operator still delivers 65% of total parcels (see Box 1). The other "parcel" or "express" operators deliver their parcels to a central LDD using eight B2B delivery rounds (and not 14 as in the first scenario, when 322 Internet customers had their online orders delivered directly to their homes, at an average of 23 units per delivery round). In the course of these eight rounds 100% of the parcels are destined for retailers, with an average of 44 deliveries at a rate of six per hour. The LDD represents the 45 delivery addresses where the dropping-off time can be as long as 40 minutes with 40 to 45 parcels being deposited for onward delivery to private customers. The total number of delivery addresses between these two outer scenarios drops from 672 to 360 and is the reason for the marked decrease in the number of delivery rounds, from 14 to 8. Delivery to the 350 retailers is maintained. A local courier service takes on the last-mile distribution, delivering the 350 parcels destined for online shoppers and in transit through the LDD, using five B2C delivery rounds. For each of these rounds, an average of 64 addresses are delivered to, at a rate of eight per hour.

The average length of a combined "postal" and B2B delivery round is 45 km, as opposed to 5 km for delivery rounds from the LDD. The daily operating cost of a delivery round remains at 250 € net, taking into consideration the daily operating cost of the LDD, which at 100 € for rent and 150 € for staff represents around 0.70 to 0.75 € per parcel. Two-thirds of vehicles used by postal operators are petrol- or diesel-powered utility vehicles, emitting 0.3194 kg of CO₂ per km. The remaining utility vehicles are electric. As for vehicles used by the parcel and express service operators, 75% are petrol- or diesel-powered with four 10T trucks and two utility vehicles. In addition, of these eight parcel rounds, two are operated using electric utility vehicles. Finally, the local courier service uses only electric utility vehicles for their five delivery rounds in the hyper-centre of Nantes. There is deemed to be no failure rate of first delivery to retailers, but it is generally above 10% for online shoppers:

- increasing from 5% to 14% for online shoppers delivered by "postal" rounds
- increasing from 13% to 40% for online shoppers delivered by local courier service

Table 4
Economic and ecological impacts of failure to deliver first time, with 35% of deliveries via an LDD

Rate of failure to deliver 1st time to online shopper	Simul 1	Simul 2	Simul 3	Simul 4
- 9 "postal" service rounds	14%	11%	8%	5%
- 5 "online shoppers" service rounds	40%	31%	22%	13%
- 8 "retailers & LDD" service rounds				
Total of Failure to Deliver to Online Shoppers (FDOS)	206	163	115	76
Percentage of FDOS	23%	18%	13%	8%
Total cost of FDOS	613 €	485 €	342 €	231 €
Total CO₂ emissions (Kg) from FDOS	12.0	9.4	6.8	5.3
Percentage of CO₂ emissions from FDOS to total emissions	5%	4%	3%	2%

ourselves that in the second scenario, HD is not systematic, unlike in the first and third scenarios. Environmentally speaking, however, pollution increase is less pronounced because of greater use of electric utility vehicles, the daily CO₂ emissions being calculated at just over 220 kg (i.e. +3%). For our studies based on the third scenario, we of course calculated once more the economic and ecological impacts of the rate of failure to deliver the first time. Table 4 illustrates this with a summary of our findings using the same criteria as in the first two scenarios. It

shows there is a real advantage in consolidation through LDD.

Conclusion

Throughout this article we have concentrated on three key scenarios in urban distribution to online shoppers. In particular we have tried to measure the economic and ecological impacts of the failure to deliver to these customers. The results obtained, through simulations around a control scenario, have permitted us to conclude that the two scenarios in which pooling is present are more efficient than the control

scenario, which is void of any form of collaboration. The logistical merits of pooling can, of course, be calculated on several economic and ecological levels. It should be stated that the scenario of pooling via nearby pickup points is by far the least costly. However, it must also be stressed that this method is the least interesting for the online shopper, who has to go out to a collect point to pick up a parcel as a result bearing the cost of the last mile. This, of course, reduces the cost of the delivery to the operator, and, as a result, falsifies to an extent the comparison between scenarios.

Table 5
Comparison of the scenarios of 0-pooling and pooling via an LDD

Rate of failure to deliver 1st time to online shopper	Simul 1	Simul 2	Simul 3	Simul 4
<i>Total of Failure to Deliver to Online Shoppers (FDOS)</i>	206	163	115	76
Cost of failure to deliver				
"0-pooling" scenario	937 €	729 €	521 €	344 €
Scenario of pooling via a LDD	613 €	485 €	342 €	231 €
Evolution	-35%	-33%	-34%	-33%
CO2 emissions (in kg) of failure to deliver				
0-pooling scenario	51.0	39.6	28.4	18.3
Scenario of pooling via a LDD	12.0	9.4	6.8	5.3
Evolution	-76%	-76%	-76%	-71%

However, this distortion disappears when the control scenario and that of pooling via an LDD are compared. In both scenarios online shoppers are all delivered to at home. These deliveries are carried out either through an operator's agency as part of a mixed B2B and B2C round or indirectly after transit through an LDD on a dedicated B2C delivery round. This scenario of pooling seems to be in every situation the most effective: (1) economically, in that the daily production cost drops from 5,750 € to 5,300 € (-8%) with the transshipment costs taken into consideration, and (2) ecologically, daily CO2 emissions are reduced from 303 kg to 223 kg (-26%) as a result of greater use of electric LCV for deliveries to the town hypercentre from an LDD. The same observations can be made if we look at the rate of failure to deliver first time (see Table 5), whether it be cost (down 33% on average) or CO2 emissions (down 75% on average).

Concerning this reduction in emissions, it should be clarified that the high level of efficiency achieved is down for two main reasons: (1) greater use of electric vehicles and (2) the fact that the undelivered parcels no longer come back at the end of a delivery round, as they do in the case with the control model of operator agencies situated on average 15km out of town. They remain instead at an LDD in the hyper-centre of town to be re-delivered the following day or collected the same day by the customer. It is easy therefore to understand how a "failed to

deliver" parcel can now run up 30 fewer kilometres! These different results, of course, give rise to several lines of thought and as many perspectives for further studies. We will reflect on two: the first on the likely development of load pooling for urban deliveries via one or several LDDs (even if this concept is slow to get off the ground, unlike that of the "drive through") and the second, in an attempt to improve information quality, on the preferable standardisation of parcel directional labels in anticipation of the development of C2C.

References

- Boudouin, D., & Morel, C. (2002). *L'optimisation de la circulation des biens et services en ville*. Paris: La Documentation Française.
- Dablanc, L. (1998). *Le transport de marchandises en ville*. Paris: Editions Liaisons.
- Dufour, J.-G., & Patier, D. (1997). *Les transports de marchandises et la ville. Table Ronde 109*. Paris: Conférence Européenne des Ministres des Transports.
- Fulconis, F., Paché, G., & Roveillo, G. (2011). *La prestation logistique: origines, enjeux et perspectives*. Caen: Editions Management & Société.
- Gratadour, J.-R. (2004). *Le défi logistique du commerce électronique*. Paris: Rapport du Club Sénat.

Ifop-Generix Group. (2012). *Les Français et la livraison des achats sur Internet*. Available at <http://www.ifop.fr/>

Pan, S. (2010). *Contribution à la définition et à l'évaluation de la mutualisation de chaînes logistiques pour réduire les émissions de CO2 du transport: application au cas de la grande distribution*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ecole Nationale des Mines de Paris.

Patier, D. (2002). *La logistique dans la ville*. Paris: Celse.

Patier, D. (2009). *Les différentes formes de livraison aux particuliers*. Paper presented at the Workshop on Supply Chains, Energie et CO2, Paris.

Senkel, M.-P., Durand, B., & Vo, T. (2013). La mutualisation logistique: entre théories et pratiques. *Logistique & Management*, 21(1), 19-30.

Thévenon, J. (2011). Logistique urbaine, scénarii pour le futur. *L'Officiel des Transporteurs*, 2.590, 26-27.

Van Lier, T., Macharis, C., Caris, A., & Vrenken, H. (2010). *Internal and external co-loading of outbound flows to increase the sustainability of transport: A case study*. Proceedings of the 12th WCTR Conference (pp. 193-208), Lisbon.

Yrjölä, H. (2003). *Supply chain considerations for electronic grocery shopping*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Helsinki University of Technology.

About the authors

Bruno Durand is assistant professor of supply chain management at the University of Nantes and a member of the Laboratoire d'Economie et de Management de Nantes Atlantique (LEMNA), France. His research fields include urban logistics, e-commerce, and manufacturer-retailer relationships.

Sonia Mahjoub is assistant professor at the Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire, Agroalimentaire et de l'Alimentation of Nantes and member of the ONIRIS team, France. Involved in a number of research projects, she is the author of publications focussed on supply chain optimisation and urban logistics.

Marie-Pascale Senkel is assistant professor of marketing and logistics at the University of Nantes and a member of the Laboratoire d'Economie et de Management de Nantes Atlantique (LEMNA), France. Her research fields include sustainable supply chains, urban logistics, and retail operations management.